



FLOOD IMPACT & RISK ASSESSMENT

515 Crookwell Rd, Kingsdale

Site: Lot 103 & 104 DP 1007433 Project: 24 large lot Residential Subdivision DA: TBA

LGA: Goulburn Mulwaree Council

REPORT No:	20240613_20027_001_0
REVISION:	0
FIELD / INSPECTION DATE:	23 rd February 2024
REPORT DATE:	13 th June 2024
CIVPLAN PTY LTD ©	2024

CIVPLAN PTY LTD ABN: 49 620 926 114 | CIVPLAN CONSULTING PTY LTD ABN: 79 157 731 912 SOUTH COAST OFFICE: 390 PRINCES HIGHWAY, BOMADERRY NSW 2541 | SYDNEY OFFICE: 152 SAILORS BAY ROAD, NORTHBRIDGE NSW 2063 T: 1800 318 052 E: info@civplan.com.au W: civplan.com.au



AUTHOR AND DOCUMENT CONTROL:

Field / Design Work By:	Written By:	Reviewed / Approved By:
Josh Eves	Josh Eves	John Weil
Civil Engineer	Civil Engineer	Principal Civil Engineer

RECORD OF DISTRIBUTION:

Туре	Report No. & File	Status	Revision	Date	Prepared For
Electronic	20240613_20027_001_0	Approval	0	13 th June 2024	Council

REFERENCEES:

- Goulburn Mulwaree Council Development Control Plan 2009
- Goulburn Mulwaree Council Design Specification 2013
- Australian Rainfall & Runoff A Guide to Flood Estimation 2019
- Flood Risk Management Manual: The policy and manual for the management of flood liable land (2023) Department of Planning and Environment NSW Government
- Landcom Managing Urban Stormwater Soil and Construction Volume 1 (4th Edition 2004) known as the "blue book".
- Civil Engineering Concept Design & Flood Impact Sheet Set, Red 20027 Revision P0 by CivPlan Pty Ltd dated 5th June 2024
- The Estimation of Probable Maximum Precipitation in Australia: Generalised Short-Duration Method Commonwealth Bureau of Meterology
- Goulburn Mulwaree Overland Flow Flood Study

John Weil Director / Principal Civil Engineer BEng(Hons) MIEAust CPEng NER Ph: 0408 581 227



COPYRIGHT STATEMENT:

CivPlan Pty Ltd is the publisher of this document and the owner of the copyright subsisting in this publication. Other than as permitted by the Copyright Act and as outlined in the Terms of Engagement, no part of this report may be reprinted or reproduced or used in any form, copied or transmitted, by any electronic, mechanical, or by other

means, now known or hereafter invented (including microcopying, photocopying, recording, recording tape or through electronic information storage and retrieval systems or otherwise), without the prior written permission of CivPlan Pty Ltd. Legal action will be taken against any breach of its copyright. This report is available only as book

form unless specifically distributed by CivPlan Pty Ltd in electronic form. No part of it is authorised to be copied, sold, distributed or offered in any other form.

The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited. CivPlan Pty Ltd assumes no responsibility where the document is used for purposes other than those for which it was commissioned.

Copyright © 2024 by CivPlan Pty Ltd



CONTENTS:

1. Introduction
1.1 Purpose and Scope5
2. Project Overview & Hydrology5
2.1 The Site
2.2 Proposed Development6
3. Hydrological Modelling7
3.1 Model Adoption7
3.2 Catchment Areas
3.3 DRAINS Modelling Input Parameters10
3.4 Rainfall Data10
3.5 Existing Pipe Road Crossings11
3.6 Results11
3.7 Calibration
3.8 Post Development Model Adoption13
4. Hydraulic Modelling
4.1 Digital Elevation Model (DEM)13
4.2 Surface Modification14
4.3 2D Flow Area and Boundary Conditions15
4.4 2D Hydraulic Model – Manning's Roughness16
4.5 Hydraulic Structures
4.6 Results
4.7 2D Hydraulic Model Validation22
5. Conclusions

APPENDIX:

- Appendix A: Civil Engineering Concept Design & Flood Assessment
- Appendix B: HEC-RAS Results
- Appendix C: Inflow Hydrographs
- Appendix D: ARR Data Hub
- Appendix E: IFD Data
- Appendix F: PMF Calculation
- Appendix G: RFFEM Outputs



1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this Flood Impact and Risk Assessment (FIRA) is to provide support for a planning proposal for the proposed 24 large lot residential subdivision at Lot 103 & 104 DP 1007433 at 515 Crookwell Rd, Kingsdale, NSW. The scope of this FIRA includes assessment of the pre- and post-development conditions and extent of the flood behaviour across the site and surrounding properties. This report will also include the findings of the analysis.

2. Project Overview & Hydrology

2.1 The Site

The site is located Lot 103 & 104 DP 1007433 at 515 Crookwell Rd, Kingsdale, NSW and is within the Goulburn Mulwaree Council LGA and the WaterNSW catchment area. Access to the site is via an unpaved driveway from Crookwell Road which is aligned north to south along the site's eastern boundary. It's a rural residential area is mostly composed of farms and rural properties. The unpaved driveway from Crookwell Road leads to a dwelling and associated sheds in the centre along the eastern boundary of lot 103 DP 1007433.



Figure 1: Site Location - NSW Imagery (SIX Maps)





Figure 2: Site Location - NSW Topo (SIX Maps)

The total area of the site is approximately 195.71ha with lot 103 DP 1007433 making up approximately 90.97ha of the area and lot 104 making up approximately 104.74ha. The developable land area of the site only (Figure 3) is being assessed for this report, which is 54.68ha. This area is being considered due to the catchment bypassing nearby Sooley Dam to the west and flowing directly towards the Wollondilly River, downstream of the dam. The area is generally undulating and dips at approximately 6-7% falling from the northern extent at approximately RL 685 to RL 670 approximately 760m to the south.

Currently there is a driveway that leads to the main dwelling and extensions of the driveway that lead to multiple large rural storage sheds and silos west and north of the dwelling. Further north, a gravel road leads to rural sheds and fenced stock yards. The remainder of the property is divided and fenced paddocks for different purposes, including sheep grazing and cropping. A paddock to the south of the dwelling contains a bore (ID: GW050231).

There are four mapped watercourses within the site, running to the south and north of the site. The watercourses to the north flows into nearby Sooley Dam and is considered undevelopable. The dam within the developable area is proposed to be retained as part of the subdivision works and all riparian buffers have been implemented as shown in Figure 3.

2.2 Proposed Development

The subject land is currently the subject of a proposed ongoing planning proposal. The current site is proposed to be subdivided into 24 large residential lots of minimum 2ha as shown in Figure 3.





Figure 3: Proposed Development

This report will outline the pre and post-development conditions of the site, and the overland flows through and around the proposed development using hydrology and 2D hydraulic modelling.

3. Hydrological Modelling

3.1 Model Adoption

Hydrological modelling was conducted in DRAINS using RAFTS storage routing model.

RAFTS storage routing models can model larger catchments using a lumped approach by assuming heterogeneity with sub-catchments to account for the storage and retardance of flows that occurs within the sub-catchments. Such models account for slope and roughness and use a loss model to produce a hydrograph at the sub-catchment outlet which can be input into HEC-RAS for unsteady 2D hydraulic flow analysis.

The RAFTS hydrological model was chosen because it is widely used and accepted across Australia within the industry and has been shown to be insensitive to initial conditions.

The DRAINS model configuration is shown in Figure 4.





Figure 4: DRAINS Model Configuration

3.2 Catchment Areas

The catchment area draining to through the site was derived using 5m LiDAR Digital Elevation Models (DEM) sourced from ELVIS (<u>http://elevation.fsdf.org.au</u>).

DEM contour data was imported into HEC-HMS to map sub-catchments boundaries and determine their areas and overland flow paths. Impervious percentages for each catchment were determined as per Goulburn Mulwaree Council guidelines for stormwater modelling. Impervious percentages have been confirmed by digitising polygons of impervious areas (i.e. roads and roofs) from aerial photographs. Sub-catchment slopes were derived using slope analysis conducted on the above terrain data.

The Manning's coefficients for the DRAINS (RAFTS) model have been critically analysed for each catchment and overland flow path individually (see Table 1), depending on the land use type and surface. See Figure 5 below for the various Manning's coefficients used for different land applications.

Surface Type	Suggested n Values	7	
Concrete Pipes or Box Sections	0.012		
Concrete (trowel finish)	0.012 - 0.015		
Concrete (formed, without finishing)	0.013 - 0.018		
Concrete (gunite)	0.016 - 0.020		
Bricks	0.014 - 0.016	Flow across Parks	0.35
Pitchers or Dressed Stone in Mortar	0.015 - 0.017	Flow across Rural Residential land	0.30
Random Stones in Mortar or Rubble Masonry	0.020 - 0.035	Flow across Residential (2a)	0.21
Rock Lining or Rip-Rap	0.025 - 0.030	Flow across Residential (2b)	0.11
Earth (clear)	0.018 - 0.025	Flow across Industrial	0.06
Earth (with weeds or gravel)	0.025 - 0.035	Flow across Commercial	0.04
Rock Cut	0.035 - 0.040	Flow across Paved Areas	0.01
Short Grass	0.030 - 0.035	Flow across Asphalt Roads	0.02
Long Grass	0.035 - 0.050	Flow across Gravel Areas	0.02

<u>Channels</u>

Overland Flow Retardance



Land Use Type	Manning 'n'
Residential areas – high density	0.2-0.5
Residential areas – low density	0.1-0.2
Industrial/commercial	0.2-0.5
Open pervious areas, minimal vegetation (grassed)	0.03 – 0.05
Open pervious areas, moderate vegetation (shrubs)	0.05 - 0.07
Open pervious areas, thick vegetation (trees)	0.07 - 0.12
Land Use Type	Manning 'n'
Waterways/channels - minimal vegetation	0.02 - 0.04
Waterways/channels - vegetated	0.04 - 0.1
Concrete lined channels	0.015 - 0.02
Paved roads/car park/driveways	0.02 - 0.03
Lakes (no emergent vegetation)	0.015 - 0.35
Wetlands (emergent vegetation)	0.05 - 0.08
Estuaries/Oceans	0.02 - 0.04

Figure 5: ARR19 Book 6 Section 6.2.1 & 6.2.2

The manning's coefficients have also been precisely adopted depending on the type of land cover and surface on the HEC-RAS modelling, which will be detailed on the following topics.

The sub-catchment breakup is shown below in Table 1 and represented on Civil Engineering Concept Design plans, sheet 20027-FIRA-426 which can be found in Appendix A.

Catchment	Area (ha)	Land Use Type	n'	lmp. (%)	Longest Flowpath Length (km)	Longest Flowpath Slope (%)	Description
Cat 1	7.037	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	5.0%	0.436	1.6%	Flow affects site
Cat 2	9.576	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	4.4%	0.546	6.3%	Flow affects site
Cat 3	9.270	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	2.2%	0.503	4.4%	Flow affects site
Cat 4	8.506	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	3.2%	0.445	2.2%	Flow affects site
Cat 5	8.504	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	6.4%	0.382	7.4%	Flow affects site
Cat 6	3.200	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	11.0%	0.500	2.7%	Flow affects site
Cat Internal 1	23.612	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	1.6%	0.266	1.3%	Flow affects site
Cat Internal 2	15.704	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	0.2%	0.625	2.0%	Flow affects site
Cat Internal 3	16.075	Open pervious area, minimal vegatation	0.03	0.0%	0.490	1.7%	Flow affects site
TOTAL AREA (ha)	101.484						

Table 1: Sub-Catchments Summary



3.3 DRAINS Modelling Input Parameters

The parameters adopted for DRAINS hydrological modelling are shown in Table 2.

Parameter	Value Adopted	Justification/ Source
Impervious Area Initial Loss (mm)	1	Typical value for impervious areas.
Impervious Area Continuing Loss		
(mm/h)	0	Typical value for impervious areas.
		The value recommended in ARR Data Hub in
		accordance with recommended NSW loss
Pervious Area Initial Loss (mm)	9.6	hierarchy
		The value recommended in ARR Data Hub in
Pervious Area Continuing Loss		accordance with recommended NSW loss
(mm/h)	2.7	hierarchy
BX	1	RAFTS Default
Sub-catchment Area (km²)	Varies	Refer to Table 1
		Refer to Table 1
		Estimated by digitising polygons of impervious
		areas (i.e. roads and roofs) from aerial imagery
Impervious Area (%)	Varies	and survey.
		Refer to Table 1
		Determined from slope analysis of LiDAR DEM
Sub-catchment Slope (%)	Varies	data for each sub-catchment.
Manning's 'n'	0.03	Value for open pervious area, minimal vegetation.

Table 2: DRAINS Hydrological Parameters Adopted

3.4 Rainfall Data

IFD design rainfall depth data and temporal patterns were derived in accordance with Australian Rainfall and Runoff (2019) using the bureau of Meteorology's 2019 Rainfall IFD on-line Data System and are provided in Appendix B and C.

The temporal patterns for the East Coast South region were used as this covers the site (latitude -34.698 South, longitude 149.701 East).

A copy of the rainfall depths for the range of storm durations can be found in the Appendix C.

Flows were routed along each link using DRAINS premium hydraulic model which applies the full S.t Venant equations of unsteady flow to overland flow routes. This allows water levels along these routes to be determined accurately, allowing for varied water surface flow profiles, including subcritical and supercritical flows. It also accounts for storage effects in overland flow routes.



3.5 Existing Pipe Road Crossings

The existing pipes along Crookwell Road were represented in the DRAINS model and the parameters for each structure can be seen in Table 3.

DRAINS – Existing Culverts				
DRAINS Culvert Name	Size (mm)	Upstream Invert RL (m)	Downstream Invert RL (m)	Overflow Description
PIPE EX 1	750	675.16	675.057	Along Crookwell Rd, weir height downstream driveway
PIPE EX 2	2x600	674.001	673.285	Along Crookwell Rd, weir height downstream driveway
PIPE EX 3	450	672.395	671.809	Along Crookwell Rd, weir height downstream driveway
PIPE EX 4	750	670.93	669.707	Along Crookwell Rd, weir height downstream driveway
PIPE EX5	600	670.62	669.419	SAG, overflow across Crookwell Rd

Table 3: Overland Flow Paths Results Summary

3.6 Results

The DRAINS model was run in 'premium' hydraulic mode for storm durations ranging from 5 minutes to 9.0 hours for a range of rainfall events: 1%, 0.2% and 0.05% AEP. The 6.0-hour storm was analysed due to the volume of water during the storm event.

The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was also analysed using DRAINS model. The Probable Maximum Precipitation has been calculated using the GSDM method in accordance with the document guidelines *The Estimation of Probable Maximum Precipitation in Australia: Generalised Short-Duration Method* prepared by Commonwealth Bureau of Meteorology dated June 2003. The calculations can be found in Appendix D.

Critical storm duration refers to the duration of design storm that will result in the highest peak flood flows or levels at a particular location. The critical duration is influenced by various factors including upstream catchment area and may vary between locations of interest throughout a catchment or study area. With the introduction of ARR 2019 a representative temporal pattern must also be identified which produces a peak flow closest to but not less than the design peak flow (that being the average of peak flows from an ensemble set of 10 temporal patterns).



Table 4 below shows the overland flow paths from DRAINS that have been used as inflow boundary conditions in HEC-RAS for unsteady 2D flow analysis, including peak flows and critical storms for the range of rainfall events analysed as mentioned above.

Flood Impact Assessment - Overland Flow Paths					
DRAINS Flow Path Name	HEC-RAS Boundary Condition Name	AEP	Critical Storm	Peak Flow	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 9	0.372	
OF EX1 to	Inflow 1	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 2	0.508	
INT1	INNOW 1	0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 9	0.668	
		PMF	6 hour burst	0.878	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 2	0.240	
OE EV2	Inflow 2	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 10	0.242	
OF EAZ	IIIIOw 2	0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.244	
		PMF	6 hour burst	0.281	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 3	0.302	
OF EX 3	Inflow 3	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 1	0.339	
		0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.346	
		PMF	6 hour burst	0.397	
		1%	6 hour, Storm 9	0.317	
	Inflow 4	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.428	
		0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.576	
		PMF	6 hour burst	1.15	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.304	
OF ROAD	Inflow 5	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.439	
OUT		0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.549	
		PMF	6 hour burst	25.0	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	1.420	
OF		0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	1.94	
INTERNAL 1	Internal 1	0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	2.43	
		PMF	6 hour burst	8.58	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.998	
	Inflow 6	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 9	1.39	
OF CAT 2	IIIIOW O	0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 2	1.77	
		PMF	6 hour burst	5.83	
		1%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	0.997	
OF	Inflow 7	0.2%	6 hour burst, Storm 5	1.37	
INTERNAL 3	mnow /	0.05%	6 hour burst, Storm 9	1.75	
		PMF	6 hour burst	5.91	

Table 4: Overland Flow Paths Results Summary



Appendix C shows the hydrograph data for the respective flow paths extracted from DRAINS model (as described in Table 4) for all rainfall events.

3.7 Calibration

In the absence of recorded gauges within the catchment area, calibration of the hydrologic model was not able to be undertaken in the current study, and model validation approaches were applied to the hydraulic model in comparison to the Goulburn Floodplain Risk Management Study dated July 2022 as described on subject 4.7 of this assessment.

Unfortunately, the Goulburn Floodplain Risk Management Study covers a much larger catchment area, and there are no hydrographs and/or peak flows near the subject site of 515 Crookwell Rd that could be used to calibrate our hydrologic model.

Also, Regional Flood Frequency Estimation Model (RFFEM) is not applicable due to catchment size. See Appendix G for the results of the RFFEM, results have a lower accuracy with catchments of smaller size and shape.

3.8 Post Development Model Adoption

The pre-development hydrological DRAINS model using RAFTS storage routing model and existing Mannings and impervious areas was used for the overland flow paths peak flows. The increase in impervious area will be getting offset by the PSD/SSR calculations represented on Civil Engineering Concept Design plans, sheet 20027-FIRA-426 which can be found in Appendix A. The post development land cover Mannings has been provided within the HEC-RAS model and can be seen in section 4 of this report.

4. Hydraulic Modelling

Modelling was conducted using an unsteady 2D Hydraulic HEC-RAS model.

4.1 Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

A digital elevation model (DEM) over the study area was established using a land survey within the property for more accurate data of the existing condition of the site.

Using this 1m DEM, a two- dimensional flow area (i.e. active cells) was defined over the subject site and surrounding areas over an area large enough to accommodate the expected flows. The survey data used can be seen in Figure 6.





Figure 6: Unmodified DEM (Pre-Development)

The 1m DEM grid was imported into HEC-RAS and used as the basis for development to create the terrain model for the pre- and post-development.

4.2 Surface Modification

The DEM was used as a base surface for the post-development scenario

The post-development scenario was modelled in Civil Site Design, and the design TIN surface has been exported into HEC-RAS and combined with the pre-development DEM to represent the post-development layout to be used for hydraulic analysis.

Terrain modification was used in HEC-RAS to create flow paths which would reflection the onsite environment. Where the post-development scenario surface ties into the existing surface there is a step up which is not representative of the site conditions. A 100m opening was created downstream of culvert 1 through to the existing dam south of the site was created to replicate the existing overland flow path. Smaller 20m openings were created at the inlets and outlets of the culverts to allow to water to enter and exit the culverts with ease. See the two profiles used in Figure 7 below.



Figure 7: Unmodified DEM (Pre-Development)



The post-development modified surface is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8: Design Surface (Post-Development)

4.3 2D Flow Area and Boundary Conditions

A two-dimensional flow area (mesh) and geometry has been created for pre and post-development scenarios, extended downstream and upstream of the site to analyse the flow characteristics and the impacts on the adjoining properties.

The flow hydrograph for the upstream boundary conditions was derived from DRAINS using the results for the rainfall events previously mentioned for the internal and external catchments that affect the site and surrounding.

The inflow boundaries were extended along the upstream face of the two-dimensional domain at each location over a sufficient length to enable the model to appropriately distribute the flow to the cells that are wet. At any given time-step, only a portion of the boundary condition line may be wet, therefore only the cells in which the water surface elevation is higher than their outer boundary face terrain will receive water.

Flows leaving the two-dimensional area were defined with a normal depth downstream boundary condition with a friction slope of 4.0% which is based on the gradient of the land at the location of the boundary according to the original 1m DEM surface. The friction slope method uses the Manning's equation to compute a normal depth for each given flow, based on the cross section underneath the two-dimensional boundary condition line and is computed on a per cell basis.

The extension of the flow area and boundary condition lines in HEC-RAS for pre and post development scenarios are shown in figure 9 and 10 below.





Figure 9: 2D Pre Development Flow Area and Boundary Conditions



Figure 10: Post Development 2D Flow Area and Boundary Conditions

4.4 2D Hydraulic Model – Manning's Roughness

The Manning's Roughness coefficient was refined on the most critical areas within the 2D flow mesh in HEC-RAS according to the landcover and type of surface that best represents the pre and postdevelopment condition of the subject site and surrounding area and adopted as shown in Table 5 and



Figure 11 and 12 below. The landcover data was obtained from SEED Mapping Portal for pre development.

Description	Manning's (N)
Open Areas with Low/Medium	
Vegetation	0.03
Roads	0.02

Table 5: 2D Hydraulic Model – Manning's Roughness



Figure 12: 2D Hydraulic Model – Post Development Manning's Roughness

4.5 Hydraulic Structures

The proposed culverts within the development have been included in the HEC-RAS model as a SA/2D Area connection. Figure 13 below shows the location of the proposed hydraulic structures for the post-development scenario.





Figure 13: Culvert Locations (Post-Development)

The parameters adopted for the proposed hydraulic structures included on the 2D model are shown in Table 6 below. It is to note that the sizes of these box culverts have been sized using a DRAINS model and are to be sized in more detail at a later stage.

Parameter	Culvert 1	Culvert 2	Culvert 3	Culvert 4	Culvert 5
Size (m)WxH	4.8x0.9	4.8x0.9	2.4x0.9	2.4x0.9	4.8x0.9
Length (m)	22	26	20	19	21
Entrance Loss Coefficient	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Manning's	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Upstream Invert RL (m)	666.20	669.91	671.75	671.40	673.9
Downstream Invert RL (m)	666.1	669.86	670.99	670.70	673.88

Table 6: HEC-RAS Culvert Parameters

4.6 Results

The 2D Hydraulic HEC-RAS model was run in unsteady mode with variable timestep controlled by Courant condition using the diffusion wave computational method. The model was used to simulate the flows for the 1%, 0.2%, 0.05% AEP and PMF.



Please refer to the civil engineering & flood impact sheet set provided in Appendix A for the mapped results extracted from HEC-RAS where the flood characteristics (depth, velocity, water surface elevation, d x v and WSE compare) for the pre- and post-development scenarios are shown in detail for the storm events for the 1%, 0.2%, 0.05% AEP and PMF.

All storms display similar characteristics for the pre-development depths and WSE with depths increasing as the event increasing. The areas of greater depths are within the natural watercourse, existing dams and towards the back of lot 24 where the existing site is steep or has existing trees and sheds which may cause the water to build up and increase velocity. For the post development scenario, the water can be seen to travel down the proposed swales and to the sags of the roads where the water will outlet. HEC-RAS cannot model pit and pipe network, therefore it can be seen that the water builds up around the sags which will be dealt with at detailed design phase through a detailed DRAINS model analysing the proposed swales and sags of the roads. The major sags of roads 01, 02 and 03 have all been raised by a minimum of 200mm above the existing surface to allow for the roads to be constructed above the 1% AEP flood waters. There is allowance for these roads to be raised higher during the detailed design phase when the 1% AEP will be analysed to improve overland flows paths. Further design will be undertaken around the intersection of road 01 and 02 to remove the sag on road 01 by changing the cross fall of road 02 at the intersection to one way cross-fall. This will help with the ponding in lot 5 due to the increase in levels where the road 02 sag is.

Figures 1-4 in Appendix B show the 'was dry now wet' comparison between pre and post development scenarios. The main areas that are now wet in the post development scenarios are around the sags of the roads. The sags were forced to be where the existing watercourses flow to allow for the water to go in its natural direction. The sizing of the road crossing and the roadside swales will be undertaken at the detailed design phase which will help relieve these depths and velocities. It is clear via these figures that the development has no major upstream or downstream affects to the surrounding neighbours due to the water continuing along its natural course with no greater flows or depths compared to the predevelopment. Figure 15 below shows the areas where the water is now flowing in the post-development scenario. These depths will be reduced with a pit and pipe network along these roads.



Figure 14: 2D Hydraulic Model – Post Development – Was dry now wet - 1% AEP Event



Figures 5-8 in Appendix B show the Hazard categories for the pre-development scenarios. The site is mostly hazard category 1 and 2 of the ARR19 Hazard Classifications which is generally safe. The areas of the site which exceed these categories are very steep and the flood water velocities exceed the limiting factor or in existing dams where the depth exceeds the maximum. These maximum values which can be seen in Figure 15 below. Where the water outlet conditions are in the model the hazard category also reaches its maximum due to the velocity of the water leaving the model. These maximum flows are only for a short period of time. The PMF event shows the worst case results for these areas due to the amount of water exceeding the maximum velocity.



Figure 15: ARR19 Book 6 Section 6.7.3 & 6.7.4

Figures 9-12 in Appendix B show the Hazard categories for the post development scenarios. The site is mostly hazard category 1 and 2 of the ARR19 Hazard Classifications which is generally safe. The areas of the site which exceed these categories are very steep and the flood water velocities exceed the limiting factor or in existing dams where the depth exceeds the maximum. These maximum values can be seen in Figure 15. The roadside swales, especially where the sags of the roads are all have higher categories due to the depth of water ponding in these areas. Post development culverts have been modelled as 4.8x0.9m boxed culverts which all have been design with 300mm freeboard to top of soffit. HEC-RAS does not allow for pits along the culverts, therefore water along the sags could not be picked up via these culverts causing greater depths. The sags of the road will be investigated further at detailed design phase via a DRAINS model.



Figure 16: 2D Hydraulic Model – Post Development – Hazard Categories - 1% AEP Event



Where the outlet conditions are in the model the hazard category reaches its maximum due to the velocity of the water leaving the model and the depth of the water going into the existing dams. These maximum flows are only got for a short period of time. The PMF event shows the worst results for these areas due to the amount of water exceeding the maximum velocity. Access and egress from the site to Crookwell Rd can always be achieved for all storms via road 01. At road 03, where the outlet condition is from the model, the access and egress is cut off in the PMF for two and a half hours of the 6 hour storm. As mentioned before the lots around road 03 back onto Crookwell Rd and can still egress via Road 02 and Road 01 as these areas are category 1 or 2 throughout the whole storm except for the initial wave.

All post-development lots within the mapped watercourses are impacted by flows. The building envelopes of lots 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 15 and 24 all need to have suitable building envelopes above the 1% AEP flood levels plus a freeboard of 500mm. All mentioned lots are within the hazard category 1 for the 1% event with the sags where the pipe crossings are the only sections where the hazard categories exceed these categories which will be treated via pit and pipe network. FPLs have been added to building envelopes that are affected by the PMF flood water. See table below for all maximum WSE's for the building envelopes of each lot and the Flood Planning Level (FPL) for each lot.

	1% AEP WSE	FPL	PMF WSE
Lot 1	673.37	673.87	673.60
Lot 2	NA	NA	NA
Lot 3	NA	NA	NA
Lot 4	NA	NA	NA
Lot 5	670.28	670.78	670.52
Lot 6	NA	NA	NA
Lot 7	NA	667.81	667.71
Lot 8	668.93	669.43	669.03
Lot 9	669.75	670.25	669.89
Lot 10	NA	NA	NA
Lot 11	670.13	671.22	671.12
Lot 12	NA	672.05	671.95
Lot 13	NA	NA	NA
Lot 14	NA	NA	NA
Lot 15	675.02	675.52	675.19
Lot 16	NA	NA	NA
Lot 17	NA	NA	NA
Lot 18	NA	NA	NA
Lot 19	NA	NA	NA
Lot 20	NA	NA	NA
Lot 21	NA	NA	NA
Lot 22	NA	NA	NA
Lot 23	NA	NA	NA
Lot 24	675.77	676.41	676.31

Table 7: Flood Planning Levels

All FPL's have been checked against the PMF's WSE and all are above the WSE of the PMF event. The water for the 0.05% AEP event is contained within the existing swale but for the PMF it spills at the sag



and affects lot 7 and 12. FPLs have been added to these lots but the depth of water in the swales will be reduced via a pit and pipe network during the detailed design phase.

4.7 2D Hydraulic Model Validation

The pre-development water depth of this assessment has been compared to the Goulburn Mulwaree Overland Flow Flood Study dated September 2022 for the 1% AEP. The comparison is shown in Figures 17 and 18 below.





Figure 17: Flood Depth (Goulburn Mulwaree Overland Flow Flood Study) – 1% AEP





Figure 18: Flood Depth (Subject Study) – 1% AEP

As shown in figures 17 and 18, the flood area of this assessment is similar to the Goulburn Mulwaree Overland Flow Flood Study for the 1% AEP event. Although the figures are not on the same scale, it is observed similarity on both studies' results by comparing the indicated flood mapping. For example, comparing the width of the flood mapping, it is extremely similar on both studies. The Goulburn Flood mapping does not have any depths to compare the results to.

The Goulburn Mulwaree Overland Flow Flood Study is analysing a much larger area and not focused in one subject its which makes it difficult to critically compare the results especially with no depths.

5. Conclusions

This report has summarised the outcomes of a flood impact assessment that was completed to provide support for a planning proposal for the proposed 24 large lot residential subdivision at Lot 103 & 104 DP 1007433 at 515 Crookwell Rd, Kingsdale, NSW, by analysing the flood behaviour for the pre- and post-development scenarios and the impacts on the flood characteristics of the subject site.

Pre- and post-development conditions have been modelled using HEC-RAS and performed using unsteady flow analysis, with internal and external catchments and hydrographic data being analysed with storm durations up to 6.0 hours using DRAINS (RAFTS model). Please refer to civil engineering & flood assessment sheet set reference number 20240613_20027_0-CIVILS- *Revision 0* prepared by CivPlan Pty Ltd in Appendix A for all the flood mappings and analysis performed for this assessment, including depths, velocities, WSE, depth x velocities and WSE comparison map for both scenarios for the 1%, 0.2%, 0.05% AEP and PMF events. All extra results have been shown in Appendix B which includes 'was dry now wet' and hazard categories.



This assessment demonstrates that the development is viable in terms of a flood perspective. Lots 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 15 and 24 are all affected by the 1% AEP event and need to have building envelopes above the 1% AEP water levels plus 500mm freeboard. These levels have been checked against the PMF and are all above the PMF WSE without any need of retaining walls or fill. Lots 7 and 12 building envelopes are affected by the PMF flood water and both require building envelopes above these levels. Roadside swales throughout this area of the site will be investigated further via a pit and pipe network at the detailed design phase to reduce the depth of water. The major sags of roads 01, 02 and 03 have all been raised by a minimum of 200mm above the existing surface to allow for the roads to be constructed above the 1% AEP flood waters. All roads have minimal depths in the 1% storm and are all have hazard category 1 or 2 which is safe. There is allowance for these roads to be raised higher during the detailed design phase when the 1% AEP will be analysed to improve overland flows paths.

Regarding the impacts caused by the development for all storm events, it's conclusive that the increase in water depth is mostly contained within the development site, with all 'was dry now wet' areas contained to the swales and sags of the proposed roads. All these areas will be dealt with via a pit and pipe network at the detailed design phase. It is clear that the development does not affect the downstream properties of the subject site. The water continues on its natural course with no increase of depth or velocity.

Concluding, the only significant impacts due to the development are observed for the PMF storm event, however this is an extreme rare flood event, very difficult to predict due to uncertainty of the factors and available data. Comparing the pre and post development depths and velocities, the development is not affecting any surrounding properties. There is no increase in velocity or depth of the water exiting the site which will affect the downstream properties. Safe access and egress to the post development lots of the subject site are still maintained with roads 01 and 02 generally categorised as H1 and H2. Further analysis will be undertaken at the detailed design phase to treat areas that exceed the H1 category via a stormwater drainage pit and pipe network with associated overland flow paths.



Appendix A

Catchment Analysis & Flood Maps

515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P 1007433 24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION CIVIL ENGINEERING CONCEPT DESIGN & FLOOD IMPACT ASSESSMENT



SITE LOCALITY PLAN 1:8000 @ A1

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITEI
SIZE: A1	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE	1			AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN
DATE OF SURVEY. 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	TP	1			LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW	1			RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O

GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL PLANNING PROPOSAL APPLICATION

	DRAWING SCHEDULE INDEX	
SHEET	TITLE	REV
20027-401	COVER AND INDEX	P0
20027-402	GENERAL ARRANGEMENT PLAN	P0
20027-403	EXISTING AND SITE PREPARATION PLAN	P0
20027-404	SOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN	P0
20027-405	SOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT DETAILS	P0
20027-406	BULK EARTHWORKS PLAN	P0
20027-407	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 1 OF 6	P0
20027-408	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 2 OF 6	P0
20027-409	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 3 OF 6	P0
20027-410	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 4 OF 6	P0
20027-411	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 5 OF 6	P0
20027-412	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 6 OF 6	P0
20027-413	ROAD 01 MC01 LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS	P0
20027-414	ROAD 01 MC01 & ROAD 03 MC03 LONGITUDINAL AND TYPICAL SECTIONS	P0
20027-415	ROAD 02 MC02 LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS	P0
20027-416	ACCESS ROAD MC04 LONGITUDINAL AND TYPICAL SECTIONS	P0
20027-417	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 1 0F 6	P0
20027-418	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 2 0F 6	P0
20027-419	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 3 0F 6	P0
20027-420	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 4 0F 6	P0
20027-421	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 5 0F 6	P0
20027-422	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 6 0F 6	P0
20027-423	STORMWATER QUANTITY MODELLING PLAN	P0
20027-424	STORMWATER QUALITY MODELLING PLAN 1 OF 2	P0
20027-425	STORMWATER QUALITY MODELLING PLAN 2 OF 2	P0
20027-426	CATCHMENT ANALYSIS PLAN	P0
20027-427	DRAINS MODELLING RESULTS	P0
20027-428	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1% & 0.2% AEP RESULTS - DEPTHS	P0
20027-429	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 0.05% AEP% PMF RESULTS - DEPTHS	P0
20027-430	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1% & 0.2% AEP RESULTS - WSE	P0
20027-431	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 0.05% AEP & PMF RESULTS - WSE	P0
20027-432	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1% & 0.2% AEP RESULTS - DxV	P0
20027-433	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 0.05% AEP & PMF - DxV	P0
20027-434	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1%, 0.2% & 0.05% AEP & PMF - WSE COMPARE	P0



D ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME:	24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIV
LOCATION:	515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, N
	LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUN
CLIENT:	ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLAN
DESCRIPTIO	N: PLANNING PROPOSAL



APPROXIMATE ONLY AND THEIR EXACT POSITION SHOULD BE PROVEN ON SITE. NO GUARANTEE IS GIVEN





SHEET LEGEND							
DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL
BARRIER KERB 'KG'	KG	KERB / PEDESTRIAN RAMP		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss	STORMWATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	- — SW — -
ROLL KERB 'RK'	<u></u>	NOMINAL CONTROL LINE		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET		SEWER LOCATION (EXISTING)	S
EDGE STRIP 'ES'	ES	ROAD PAVEMENT		BUILDING ENVELOPE		WATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	W
KERB ONLY 'KO'	<u> </u>	PATH PAVING (CONCRETE)		BOUNDARIES		TELSTRA LOCATION (EXISTING)	T
MOUNTABLE SF TYPE KERB 'SF'	SK	CONTOURS (MAJOR)	25	TREE AND LANDSCAPING		FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (EXISTING)	NBN
DISH DRAIN 'DD'	<u>DD</u>	CONTOURS (MINOR)		DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		ELECTRICAL LOCATION (EXISTING)	E
VEHICULAR CROSSING		RETAINING WALL STRUCTURES	******	DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		GAS LOCATION (EXISTING)	— — G — —

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITEI
SI7E: A1	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE	1			AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN
DATE OF SURVEY. 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	ТР	1			LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW	1			RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O





APPROXIMATE ONLY AND THEIR EXACT POSITION SHOULD BE PROVEN ON SITE. NO GUARANTEE IS GIVEN





SHEET LEGEND					
DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	D		
DEMOLITION WORKS	· × · × ·	GAS LOCATION (SURVEY)			
TREE TO BE REMOVED	<u> </u>	SEWER LOCATION (SURVEY)			
TREE TO BE RETAINED	ÊÐ	STORMWATER LOCATION (SURVEY)			
ELECTRICAL LOCATION (SURVEY)	———— Е ————	TELSTRA LOCATION (SURVEY)			
FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (SURVEY)	NBN	WATER LOCATION (SURVEY)			

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
SIZE: AI	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
	DRAWN	JE	1			AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A
DATE OF SURVEY. 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	ТР	1			LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON





DRAWING: SOIL AND WATER MANAGEMENT PL T: 1800 318 052 E: info@civplan.com.au W: www.civplan.com.au

- GEOTEXTILE COVERS TO BE USED

- GEOTEXTILE COVERS TO BE USED

- GEOTEXTILE COVERS TO BE USED

- GEOTEXTILE COVERS TO BE USED

SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL NOTES:

1. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS, TREATMENT AND TESTING ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LANDCOM MANAGING URBAN STORMWATER SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION VOLUME 1 (4TH EDITION 2004) KNOWN AS THE "BLUE BOOK". ANY ALTERATIONS AND/OR REMOVAL OF CONTROLS ARE TO BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT PRIOR TO ANY CHANGE, INCLUDING AT THE PROJECTS CONCLUSION. DISTURBANCE IS TO BE KEPT TO A MINIMUM. STOCKPILES ARE TO BE STABILISED WITHIN 10 DAYS. AFTER EACH RAIN EVENT ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS ARE TO BE INSPECTED, CLEARED OF SILT AND REINSTATED INTO WORKING ORDER. EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS ARE TO BE MAINTAINED ON A REGULAR BASIS AND ARE TO REMAIN IN WORKING ORDER FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT. DISTURBED AREAS THAT ARE NOT UNDERGOING WORKS ARE TO BE STABILISED WITHIN 10 DAYS, FINISHED WORKS WITHIN 20 DAYS. DISTURBED AREAS THAT ARE NOT UNDERGOING BUILDING WORK OR SOFT LANDSCAPING ARE TO BE STABILITED WITH A MINIMUM OF 100MM TOPSOIL AND SEEDED WITH AN APPROPRIATE MIX FOR THE AREA AND CLIMATE. DUST CONTROLS (STABILISATION, WATERCART, SPRINKLERS ETC) ARE TO BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT, IN PARTICULAR DURING DRY AND WINDY PERIODS. 150 25 50 75 100 SCALE:- 1:2500

SION SW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES		
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024		
NING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV	
AN	20027-404	PO	









'ISION ISW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433 NCIL	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES		
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024		
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV	
ETAILS	20027-405	P0	



VOLUME SUMMARY TABLE				
ITEM	AMOUNT			
TOTAL CUT	11,081m³			
TOTAL FILL	9,721m³			
NET VOLUME (CUT)	1,360m³			
TOTAL CUT AREA	27,855m²			
TOTAL FILL AREA	23,530m²			
TOTAL WORKS AREA	51,385m²			

DB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION OCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
LIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
ESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL	20027 406	5.0		
RAWING: BULK EARTHWORKS PLAN	20027-400	P0		

) I	25 I	50	75 I	100	150 I
		SCA	_E:- 1:	2500	







DESCRIPTION	DE
BARRIER KERB 'KG'	<u> </u>
Roll Kerb 'RK'	F
EDGE STRIP 'ES'	E
KERB ONLY 'KO'	k
MOUNTABLE SF TYPE KERB 'SF'	
DISH DRAIN 'DD'	
VEHICULAR CROSSING	

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITEI
SIZE: A1 DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN
	CHECKED	ТР				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O





		SHEEL I	LEGEND			
AIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL
<u> </u>	KERB / PEDESTRIAN RAMP		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS		STORMWATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	- — SW — -
<u> </u>	NOMINAL CONTROL LINE		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET		SEWER LOCATION (EXISTING)	\$
6	ROAD PAVEMENT		BUILDING ENVELOPE		WATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	W
)	PATH PAVING (CONCRETE)		BOUNDARIES		TELSTRA LOCATION (EXISTING)	T
(CONTOURS (MAJOR)	25	TREE AND LANDSCAPING	 S 	FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (EXISTING)	NBN
)	CONTOURS (MINOR)		DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		ELECTRICAL LOCATION (EXISTING)	E
	RETAINING WALL STRUCTURES		DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		GAS LOCATION (EXISTING)	— — G — —





		SHEELI	LEGEND			
AIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL
<u>.</u>	KERB / PEDESTRIAN RAMP		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS		STORMWATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	- — SW — -
<u> </u>	NOMINAL CONTROL LINE		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET		SEWER LOCATION (EXISTING)	S
6	ROAD PAVEMENT		BUILDING ENVELOPE		WATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	W
)	PATH PAVING (CONCRETE)		BOUNDARIES		TELSTRA LOCATION (EXISTING)	— т —
<u> </u>	CONTOURS (MAJOR)	<u> </u>	TREE AND LANDSCAPING		FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (EXISTING)	
)	CONTOURS (MINOR)		DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		ELECTRICAL LOCATION (EXISTING)	Ε ——
	RETAINING WALL STRUCTURES		DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		GAS LOCATION (EXISTING)	— — G — —



R FLOW BOUNDARY
OVERLAND MATH

WARNING BEWARE OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY AND THEIR EXACT POSITION SHOULD BE PROVEN ON SITE. NO GUARANTEE IS GIVEN THAT ALL EXISTING SERVICES ARE SHOWN.





SHELTLEGEND									
DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL		
BARRIER KERB 'KG'	KG	KERB / PEDESTRIAN RAMP		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss	STORMWATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	- — SW — -		
ROLL KERB 'RK'	RK	NOMINAL CONTROL LINE		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET		SEWER LOCATION (EXISTING)	S		
EDGE STRIP 'ES'	ES	ROAD PAVEMENT		BUILDING ENVELOPE		WATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	W		
KERB ONLY 'KO'	КО	PATH PAVING (CONCRETE)		BOUNDARIES		TELSTRA LOCATION (EXISTING)	T		
MOUNTABLE SF TYPE KERB 'SF'	SK	CONTOURS (MAJOR)	<u> </u>	TREE AND LANDSCAPING		FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (EXISTING)	NBN		
DISH DRAIN 'DD'	DD	CONTOURS (MINOR)		DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		ELECTRICAL LOCATION (EXISTING)	E		
VEHICULAR CROSSING		RETAINING WALL STRUCTURES		DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		GAS LOCATION (EXISTING)	— — G — —		

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITE
	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN
DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	ТР				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O



ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.





	ROAD LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 5 1:500 @ A1									
		SHEET I	LEGEND							
AIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL				
<u>G</u>	KERB / PEDESTRIAN RAMP		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	—ss—ss—	STORMWATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	- — SW — -				
K	NOMINAL CONTROL LINE		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET		SEWER LOCATION (EXISTING)	s				
<u>S</u>	ROAD PAVEMENT		BUILDING ENVELOPE		WATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	W				
0	PATH PAVING (CONCRETE)		BOUNDARIES		TELSTRA LOCATION (EXISTING)	т —				
Κ	CONTOURS (MAJOR)	25	TREE AND LANDSCAPING	(E)	FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (EXISTING)	NBN				
D	CONTOURS (MINOR)		DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		ELECTRICAL LOCATION (EXISTING)	——— Е ———				
	RETAINING WALL STRUCTURES		DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		GAS LOCATION (EXISTING)	— — G — —				


		SHEET I	LEGEND			
TAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL
(<u>G</u>	KERB / PEDESTRIAN RAMP		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss	STORMWATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	- — SW — -
<u></u>	NOMINAL CONTROL LINE		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET		SEWER LOCATION (EXISTING)	s
S	ROAD PAVEMENT		BUILDING ENVELOPE		WATER LOCATION (EXISTING)	W
(0	PATH PAVING (CONCRETE)		BOUNDARIES		TELSTRA LOCATION (EXISTING)	т
SK	CONTOURS (MAJOR)	<u> </u>	TREE AND LANDSCAPING	(S)	FIBRE OPTICS LOCATION (EXISTING)	NBN
	CONTOURS (MINOR)		DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		ELECTRICAL LOCATION (EXISTING)	——— Е ———
	RETAINING WALL STRUCTURES		DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		GAS LOCATION (EXISTING)	— — G — —

	v v	CH 0.00 WITH C)0 RL ROO	677.00 KWELL	9 IN . RC	ITEF DAD	RSEC EC0 ⁻	TION 1																			
																							— SAG	CHS	94.193 RI	_ 674.´	19
	I.P. 677.009	I.P. 676.772					I.P. 676.286							I.P. 674.518										I P 674 026			
	<-2.9	83%>	-	-3.03	35%		30.0	<	 -5.8	≪ 27%	,)			30.0	<		>		-1.0	< 000%	6			50	.000		
R.L. 667.800)		K				Ę																	
DEPTHS	0.019	0.041	0.071	0 913	0.892	0.880	0.856	0.815	0.668	0.658	0.639	11	0.454	0.414	0.388	000.0	0.446	0.440	0.422	0.414	0.393	0.380	0.376	0.383		0.334	
DESIGN SURFACE	677.009	676.772	676.741 676.709	676 469	676.390	676.349	676.181	675.896	675.412	675.392	675.351		6/4.8bU	674.699	674.530	0.4.000	674.368	674.361	674.276	674.262	674.203	674.198	674.208	674 225		b/4.2//	
EXISTING SURFACE	676.990	676.731	676.670 676.560	675,556	675.498	675.469	675.325	675.081	674.744	674.734	674.712	JU1 100	0/4.400	674.285	674,142	0/4.142	673.922	673.921	673.854	673.848	673.810	673.818	673.832	673.842		0/3.943	
CHAINAGE	000.0	7.932	8.951 10.000	16 937	18.984	20.000	23.951	30.000	38.951	39.289	40.000		000.00	54.289	60.000	00.000	69.289	70.000	78.462	80.000	000.06	94.193	100.000	103 462		110.000	

																	HC	RIZONTAL 1:500 \	/ERTICAL 1:100 @ A1															
		I.P. 681.598	70.000										30.000 I.P. 678.292																		90.000	I.P. 674.745		
<<	-1.064%							-3.319%	6														-1.770%										-2.634%	
R.L. 668,100																																		
DEPTHS	0.050	0.032	0.075	0.076	-0.002	-0.043	-0.084	-0.086	-0.051	-0.063	-0.084	-0.066	-0.079 -0.106	-0.116 -0.125	-0.132	-0.100	0.005	-0.005	-0.001	0.059	0.144	0.213	0.231	0.257	0.229	0.226	0.170	0.103	0.071	-0.026 -0.045	-0.148	-0.188 -0.200	-0.161	-0.056
DESIGN	681.581	681.400 681.374	681.135	680.863	680.626 680.560	680.436	680.230	679.898	679.566	679.234	678.902 678.700	678.581	678.350 678.310	678.089 678.026	677.909	677.732	677.555	677.378	677.201	677.024	676.847	676.671	676.494	676.317	676.140	675.963 675.786	675.542 675.542	675.430	675.242	675.045 675.014	674.838	674.648 674.621	674.395	674.159
EXISTING SURFACE	681.531	681.368 681.346	681.060	680.787	680.628 680.577	680.479	680.314	679.984	679.617	679.297	678.986 678.876	678.647	678.429 678.416	678.205 678.151	678.041	677.832	677.550	677.383	677.202	676.965	676.703	676.458	676.263	676.060	675.911	675.722 675.560	675.439 675.398	675.327	675.171	675.071 675.059	674.986	674.836 674.821	674.556	674.215
CHAINAGE	350.000	358.794 360.000	370.000	380.000	387.883 390.000	393.794	400.000	410.000	420.000	430.000	440.000	450.000	458.393 460.000	470.000 473.393	480.000	490.000	500.000	510.000	520.000	530.000	540.000	550.000	560.000	570.000	580.000	590.000 600.000	610.000 613.794	620.000	630.000	640.000 641.527	650.000	658.794 660.000	670.000	680.000

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
SIZE: A1	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A
DATE OF SORVET. 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON



LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROAD 01 MC01

CHAINAGE 0.000 TO 340.000 HORIZONTAL 1:500 VERTICAL 1:100 @ A1

LONGITUDINAL SECTION

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROAD 01 MC01

CHAINAGE 340.000 TO 680.000

HORIZONTAL 1:500 VERTICAL 1:100 @ A1

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF A ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR D ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW -LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNIN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: ROAD 01 MC01 LONGITUDIONAL

						CRES	ST CH :	31	2.397 RL	. 682.03	1
	342										
	682.										
	<u>ط</u> .										
	70.	000							_ 70	0.000	~~~
	>				-1	.064%	_				\longrightarrow
	(0							+			
.352	.476	.479	.217	.037	00.				.092		.073
Q-	Q-	9		Ŷ	Ŷ	c			0		0
		2		_	_		+ 6	+	~		
.541	.77	.797	.955	.02	.03		700.7	5	368.		.755
681	681	681	681	682	682		681 681	8	681		681
	2	9	0	2	4		0 1	+	Q		~~~
1.89;	2.24	2.27	2.176	2.06	2.03		1.93	2	1.80(1.68
68	682	68,	682	68	68		a ș	3	68		68
Q	4	0	Q	2	2	ç		$\frac{1}{2}$	Q		Q
0.00	8.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.39		3 70		0.00		0.00
28	28	29	30	31	31		30 55	5	33		34

0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2 SCALE	2.5 5 0 5 10 15 20 25 E:- 1:100 SCALE:- 1:500	
DN / - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOS	ES
_	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024	
NG PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER R	EV
	20027-413	0



SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
SIZE: AI	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
	DRAWN	JE	1			AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A
DATE OF SURVET. 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	ТР	1			LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY OF

ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME:	24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
LOCATION:	515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433 LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL
CLIENT:	ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD
DESCRIPTIO	N: PLANNING PROPOSAL
DRAWING:	ROAD 01 MC01 & ACCESS ROAD MC04 LONGITIDINAL AND TYPICAL SECTIONS

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITE
SIZE: AI	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODU
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN
DATUM: MGA2020 AHD	CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
BATOWI MOA2020, AND	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY (

50.088										1 597%											
R I 665 300																					>>>
DEPTHS 8	0.068	0.036	0.008	-0.224	-0.348 0.352	-0.154	-0.046	0.059 0.126	0.252	0.372	0.376	0.343	0.372	0.375	0.337	0.306	0.220	0.093	-0.044	-0.028	000.0
DESIGN SURFACE 82.1129	671.717	671.877	672.036 672.196	672.356	672.516 672.516	672.835	672.995	673.103 673.154	673.314 673.474	673.634	673.724 673.703	673.953	674.113	674.272 674.432	674.592	674.752	674.911 675.071	675.088 675.231	675.390	675.490 675.550	675.681
EXISTING 500 129 129	671.649	671.841	672.311 672.311	672.580	672.864	672.989	673.041	673.044 673.028	673.062 673.183	673.262	673.348 673.440	673.610	673.741	673.897 674.083	674.255	674.446	674.691 674.965	674.995 675.213	675.434	675.518 675.560	675.681
CHAINAGE 2000	360.000	370.000	390.000 390.000	400.000	410.000	430.000	440.000	446.785 450.000	460.000 470.000	480.000	485.675 490.000	500.000	510.000	520.000	540.000	550.000	560.000	571.090 580.000	590.000	596.209 600.000	608.173

. 672.807 . 672.642 -3.000% -3.000% R.L. 663.400 0.150 0.154 0.154 0.005 49 0.234 0.660 DEPTHS .307 .208 .157 670.443 670.411 672.642 763 507 DESIGN SURFACE 671.3 671.3 672. 672. 570 5 671.157 671.054 671.003 **672.819** 672.637 669.783 660.713 670.529 EXISTING SURFACE 458 336

53.283 55.000

<u>8</u>

87.558

CH 0.000 RL 672.807 INTERSECTION WITH ROAD 03 MC03

672 672

5.500

CHAINAGE

5



LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROAD 02 MC02

CHAINAGE 0.000 TO 345.044 HORIZONTAL 1:500 VERTICAL 1:100 @ A1

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROAD 02 MC02

CHAINAGE 345.044 TO 608.173

HORIZONTAL 1:500 VERTICAL 1:100 @ A1

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

UCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. IOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR) ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433 LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: ROAD 02 MC02 LONGITUDIONAL SECTIONS

								— SAG CI	H 322.801 RL 6	71.301
						I.P. 671.078				
	>			<		50.	088			>
-1.99	9%					>	-	1	.597%	
0.019	-0.013	-0.007	0.050	0.017	0.201	0.256	0.238	0.177	0.099	0.084
671.858	671.689	671.678	671.579	671.487	671.359	671.303	671.301	671.319	671.407	671.478
671.839	671.702	671.685	671.529	671.470	671.158	671.047	671.063	671.142	671.308	671.394
280.000	289.459	290.000	294.956	300.000	310.000	320.000	322.801	330.000	340.000	345.044



0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5

2.0 2.0	285:100 10.000 00%															1.000%														
DEPTHS DEPTHS	0.492 0.519 0.660	0.737	0.817	0.838	0.671	0.340	-0.084	-0.395	-0.878	-1.492	-2.111	-2.047	-1.707	-1.034	-0 838	-0.764	-0.599	-0.580	-0.563	-0.553	-0.328	-0.209	-0.013	0.162	0.354	0.492	0.501	0.390	0.229	0.175
DESIGN SURFACE	667.374 667.385 667.437	667.494	667.594	667.694 667.708	667.794 667.825	667.894	667.994	668.094	668.194	668.294	668.394	668.494	668.594	668.694 668.721	668 794	668.824	668.894	668.994	669.094	669.194	669.294	669.394	669.494	669.594	669.694	669.794	669.894	669.994	670.094	670.194 670.254
EXISTING SURFACE	666.882 666.866 666.777	666.757	666.777	666.856 666.894	667.123 667.198	667.554	668.078	668.489	669.072	669.786	670.505	670.541	670.301	669.876	669.632	669.588	669.493	669.574	669.657	669.747	669.622	669.603	669.507	669.432	669.340	669.302	669.393	669.604	669.865	670.019 670.111
CHAINAGE 000 777	9.244 10.000 14.244	20.000	30.000	40.000	50.000 53.052	60.000	70.000	80.000	90.000	100.000	110.000	120.000	130.000	140.000 142.654	150 000	152.976	160.000	170.000	180.000	190.000	200.000	210.000	220.000	230.000	240.000	250.000	260.000	270.000	280.000	290.000 295.937



SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
SIZE: AS NOTED	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR TH
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF TH CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPO
DATUM: MGA2020, AHD	CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ACCESS ROAD MC04

CHAINAGE 0.000 TO 295.937 HORIZONTAL 1:500 VERTICAL 1:100 @ A1



	0.5 1 1.5 2	2.5 5 E:- 1:100	0 5	10 SCALE	15 	20 	25	
JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 100743	3	PF NOT TO BE USI	RELIN ED FOR C	/INA ONSTR)n puf	POSI	ES
		RELEASE DATE:	13 JUNE	2024				
CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD		JOB-DI	RAWING	NUMB	ER		R	EV
DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: ACCESS ROAD MC04 LONGITUDIONAL AND TYPICAL SECTIONS		20	0027-	416			Р	0







DRAWN JE DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020 CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR CHECKED ΤР LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF DATUM: MGA2020, AHD RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT. APPROVED JW

	1:500 (@ A1					
	SHEET LEGEND						
KISTING DRAINAGE PIPE	SWD	CONCRETE HEADWALL AND RIP RAP	0				
FORMWATER DRAINAGE PIPE		DRAINAGE PIT MARKERS	•				
RAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss				
RAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET					
TERALLOTMENT DRAINAGE PIT (IAD)		ACO GRATED DRAIN OR SIMILAR					
RATED PIT AND CONC. SURROUND		RAINWATER TANK	RWT				

1.	WHERE INTERALLOTME
	INSTALLED AT EACH LC
0	
2.	ANY CUSTOM PIT AND F
	CONSTRUCTION BY TH
	COUNCIL FOR APPROV



CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 1 OF

W - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES				
.IL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024				
NING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV			
6	20027-417	PO			







AS REV DESCRIPTION SURVEY DATE CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. SCALE: AS NOTED DESIGN PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN 13 JUN 24 P0 THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF JE SIZE: A1 AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DRAWN JE DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020 CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR CHECKED ΤР LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF DATUM: MGA2020, AHD RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT. APPROVED JW

1:500 @ A1						
SHEET LEGEND						
EXISTING DRAINAGE PIPE	SWD	CONCRETE HEADWALL AND RIP RAP	٩			
STORMWATER DRAINAGE PIPE		DRAINAGE PIT MARKERS	R			
DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss			
DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET				
INTERALLOTMENT DRAINAGE PIT (IAD)		ACO GRATED DRAIN OR SIMILAR				
GRATED PIT AND CONC. SURROUND		RAINWATER TANK	RWT			

DRAINAGE	CONS	I	F
			_

1.	WHERE INTERALLOTMEI INSTALLED AT EACH LO
2.	ANY CUSTOM PIT AND H CONSTRUCTION BY THE COUNCIL FOR APPROVA



OB NAME:	24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVI
OCATION:	515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NS
	LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUN
LIENT:	ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLAN
ESCRIPTIO	N: PLANNING PROPOSAL

LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL	20027 440	5.0		
DRAWING: DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 2 OF 6	20027-418	P0		





SIZE: A1



JW

APPROVED

PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN 13 JUN 24 DESIGN P0 JE DRAWN JE DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020 CHECKED TΡ DATUM: MGA2020, AHD



1:500 @ A1						
SHEET LEGEND						
EXISTING DRAINAGE PIPE	SWD	CONCRETE HEADWALL AND RIP RAP	٩			
STORMWATER DRAINAGE PIPE		DRAINAGE PIT MARKERS	(R)			
DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss			
DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET				
INTERALLOTMENT DRAINAGE PIT (IAD)		ACO GRATED DRAIN OR SIMILAR				
GRATED PIT AND CONC. SURROUND		RAINWATER TANK	RWT			

DRAINAGE	CONST

1.	WHERE INTERALLOTME
	INSTALLED AT EACH LO
2.	ANY CUSTOM PIT AND F
	CONSTRUCTION BY TH
	COUNCIL FOR APPROV

THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME:	24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVIS
LOCATION:	515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NS
	LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUN
CLIENT:	ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANI
DESCRIPTIO	N: PLANNING PROPOSAL
DRAWING:	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 3 OF

SW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES		
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024		
NING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV	
6	20027-419	PO	

DEEED TO SHEET 20027 110 EOD					
					ND WATER FLOW BOUNDA
					OVERLA
WARNING BEWARE OF UNDERGROUND THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND SE APPROXIMATE ONLY AND THEIR EXAC SHOULD BE PROVEN ON SITE. NO GUAR/ THAT ALL EXISTING SERVICES ARE	SERVICES ERVICES ARE CT POSITION ANTEE IS GIVEN E SHOWN.				
BEFORE YOU DIG www.byda.com.au	Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.	GDA 2020			
SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS REV	DESCRIPTION PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	DATE 13 JUN 24	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED

SIZE: AI	DES
DATE OF SURVEY: 22 HINE 2020	DRA
DATUM: MGA2020, AHD	CHE

SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED AL
DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY
DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCOR CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND
CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY
APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE



	1:500	@ A1	
SHEET LEGEND			
EXISTING DRAINAGE PIPE	SWD	CONCRETE HEADWALL AND RIP RAP	٩
STORMWATER DRAINAGE PIPE		DRAINAGE PIT MARKERS	R
DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	<u>ss ss</u>
DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET	
INTERALLOTMENT DRAINAGE PIT (IAD)		ACO GRATED DRAIN OR SIMILAR	
GRATED PIT AND CONC. SURROUND		RAINWATER TANK	RWT

D	RA	INA	GE	CONS	STE

1.	WHERE INTERALLOTME
	INSTALLED AT EACH LO
0	
Ζ.	ANY CUSTOM PIT AND H
	CONSTRUCTION BY THE
	COUNCIL FOR APPROVA

THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF E CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME:	24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIO
LOCATION:	515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW
	LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL
CLIENT:	ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNIN
DESCRIPTIO	N: PLANNING PROPOSAL
DRAWING:	DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 4 OF 6

20027-420

P0



1:500 @ A1						
SHEET LEGEND						
EXISTING DRAINAGE PIPE	SWD	CONCRETE HEADWALL AND RIP RAP	4			
STORMWATER DRAINAGE PIPE		DRAINAGE PIT MARKERS	8			
DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	ssss			
DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET	i			
INTERALLOTMENT DRAINAGE PIT (IAD)		ACO GRATED DRAIN OR SIMILAR	(CALARADARARARARARARARARARARARARARARARARAR			
GRATED PIT AND CONC. SURROUND		RAINWATER TANK	RWT			

DRAINAGE CON	S1	F

1.	WHERE INTERALLOTME INSTALLED AT EACH LO
2.	ANY CUSTOM PIT AND H CONSTRUCTION BY THE COUNCIL FOR APPROV



SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
	DRAWN	JE				
DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	ТР				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON

1:500 @ A1						
SHEET LEGEND						
EXISTING DRAINAGE PIPE	SWD	CONCRETE HEADWALL AND RIP RAP	٩			
STORMWATER DRAINAGE PIPE		DRAINAGE PIT MARKERS	8			
DRAINAGE PIT - 1.8m PIT WITH LINTEL		SUB-SOIL AND FLUSH POINTS	<u></u>			
DRAINAGE PIT - 2.4m SAG WITH LINTEL		KERB ADAPTOR / OUTLET				
INTERALLOTMENT DRAINAGE PIT (IAD)		ACO GRATED DRAIN OR SIMILAR				
GRATED PIT AND CONC. SURROUND		RAINWATER TANK	RWT			

1.	WHERE INTERALLOTMEI INSTALLED AT EACH LO
2.	ANY CUSTOM PIT AND H CONSTRUCTION BY THE COUNCIL FOR APPROVA

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF N THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVI LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NS LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNC CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLAN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: DRAINAGE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE 6 OF

ISION SW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES		
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024		
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV	
F 6	20027-422	PO	

CATCHMENT SUMMARY						
PRE-DEVELOPMENT						
SITE	1%	IMPERVIOUS				
POST-DEVELOPMENT						
SITE - ROADS	4.31	ha	60%	IMPERVIOUS		
SITE - LOTS	51.16	ha	2.7%	IMPERVIOUS		

NOTE: THE UNDISTURBED VEGETATED AREA IS NOT INCLUDED ON THE STORMWATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY CALCULATIONS

LOT PERMISSIBLE SITE DISCHARGE (PSD) REQUIREMENT

CRITICAL STORM (% AEP)	PRE DEV SITE (m3/s)	POST DEV LOTS (m3/s)	POST DEV ROADS (m3/s)	PRE DEV SITE - POST DEV ROADS (m3/s)	PSD REQUIRED FOR LOTS (m3/s/ha)	L/s/ha
50	1.57	1.62	0.39	1.18	0.02	21.24
20	3.23	3.29	0.66	2.57	0.05	46.31
10	3.89	3.86	0.80	3.09	0.06	55.74
5	4.51	4.46	0.93	3.58	0.06	64.48
2	5.76	5.71	1.14	4.62	0.08	83.29
1	6.62	5.57	1.33	5.29	0.10	95.36

CONSIDERED LOT AREA: 55.47ha

CRITICAL STORM IS THE MEDIAN OF THE RELEVANT 1 MIN TO 2 HOUR DURATION USING ARR2019 PROTOCOLS

SITE STORAGE (SSR) REQUIREMENT

CRITICAL STORM (% AEP)	PRE DEV VOL (m3)	POST DEV VOL (m3)	SSR FOR LOTS (m3)	m3/ha
1(2hr)	23491.5	24436.2	944.7	17

SUMMARY

EACH LOT IS TO :

- PROVIDE 17m³/ha OF OSD TO SATISFY THE SITE STORAGE REQUIREMENT (SSR)
- COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING PERMISSIBLE SITE DISCHARGE (PSD) FLOWS NOTING THAT THE FINAL DWELLING DESIGN TO BE CHECKED BY A COMPETENT ENGINEER:

% AEP	L/s/ha
50	21
20	46
10	56
5	64
2	83
1	95

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITE
SIZE: AI	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN
DATE OF SORVET. 22 JONE 2020	CHECKED	ΤР				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O





TYPICAL RAINWATER / OSD AT-SOURCE TANK

NTS

IEFIT OF		JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURF	POSES
TAINER.	SIVPLAN		RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024	
LITY OR	CIVPLAN PTY LTD ABN: 49 620 926 114 CIVPLAN CONSULTING PTY LTD ABN: 79 157 731 912	CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV
USE OF	SOUTH COAST OFFICE: 390 PRINCES HIGHWAY, BOMADERRY NSW 2541	DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL	20027 422	
	T: 1800 318 052 E: info@civplan.com.au W: www.civplan.com.au	DRAWING: STORMWATER QUANTITY MODELLING PLAN	20027-423	P0

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. CED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENE ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RET T AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBIL) ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY L ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.



NTS







STORMWATER QUALITY MODELLING LAYOUT PLAN

NTS @ A1

515 CROOKWELL MUSIC CATCHMENT								
SUBDIVISION WORKS ONLY								
LOTS 22-24	6.454	ha	0% IMP					
ROAD 01 EB	0.628	ha	60% IMP					
LOTS 3,4 & 18-21	14.119	ha	0% IMP					
ROAD 01 WB	1.129	ha	60% IMP					
LOTS 12-17	12.109	ha	0% IMP					
ROAD 02 NB	0.786	ha	60% IMP					
LOT 5	2.000	ha	0% IMP					
ROAD 02 SB	0.403	ha	60% IMP					
LOTS 1,2 & 6-9	12.473	ha	0% IMP					
ROAD 03 EB	0.642	ha	60% IMP					
ACCESS DRIVEWAY	0.583	ha	60% IMP					
LOTS 10 & 11	4.010	ha	0% IMP					
ROAD 03 BYPASS	0.054	ha	60% IMP					

515 CROOKWELL MUSIC CATCHMENT							
PRE DEV							
55.074	ha	0% IMP					
0.116	ha	100% IMP					
DRIVEWAY 0.199 ha 50% IMP							
	State <th< td=""><td>MUSIC CATCHMEE DEV55.0740.1160.199ha</td></th<>	MUSIC CATCHMEE DEV55.0740.1160.199ha					



ACCESS DRIVEWAY VIA SWALE: 0.583ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

LOTS 10 & 11 BYPASS: 4.010ha @ 0% IMPERVIOUS

ROAD 03 BYPASS: 0.054ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF

PLAN DEVELOPMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTING CIVPLAN PTY LTD ABN: 49 620 926 114 | CIVPLAN CONSULTING PTY LTD ABN: 79 157 731 912 SOUTH COAST OFFICE: 390 PRINCES HIGHWAY, BOMADERRY NSW 2541 SYDNEY OFFICE: 152 SAILORS BAY ROAD, NORTHBRIDGE NSW 2063 T: 1800 318 052 E: info@civplan.com.au W: www.civplan.com.au

JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVIS LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NS LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNC CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLAN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: STORMWATER QUALITY MODELLIN

	Residu	Percent Red	
	Pre Dev	Post Dev - SWO	Post Dev - SWO
Flow (ML/yr)	35.425	49.936	4.562
Total Suspended Solids (kg/yr)	6341.697	995.029	87.725
Total Phosphorus (kg/yr)	17.107	6.786	57.262
Total Nitrogen (kg/yr)	115.624	79.247	21.483
Gross Pollutants (kg/yr)	45.968	0	100



ISION SW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
ICIL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
G PLAN 1 OF 2	20027-424	P0		



ROAD 02 NB VIA SWALE: ROAD 01 EB VIA SWALE: 0.786ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS 0.628ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS LOT 5 VIA SWALE: LOTS 3,4 & 18-21 VIA SWALE: 6xROOF: 0.216ha @100% IMP TO 34kL RWT 6xDRIVEWAY: 0.105ha @50% IMP TO 16m² BIO RAINGARDEN 13.798ha @ 0% IMP

ROAD 01 WB VIA SWALE:

1.129ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

1xROOF: 0.036ha @100% IMP TO 34kL RWT 1xBE: 0.018ha @50% IMP TO 16m² BIO RAINGARDEN 1.946ha @ 0% IMP

ROAD 02 NB VIA SWALE: 0.403ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

515 CROOKWELL MUSIC CATCHMENT									
POST DEVELOPMENT									
LOTS 22-24	6.454	ha	0% IMP	3xROOF: 0.108ha @100% IMP 3xDRIVEWAY: 0.053ha @50% IMP 6.293ha @ 0% IMP					
ROAD 01 EB	0.628	ha	60% IMP						
LOTS 3,4 & 18-21	14.119	ha	0% IMP	6xROOF: 0.216ha @100% IMP 6xDRIVEWAY: 0.105ha @50% IMP 13.798ha @ 0% IMP					
ROAD 01 WB	1.129	ha	60% IMP						
LOTS 12-17	12.109	ha	0% IMP	6xROOF: 0.216ha @100% IMP 6xDRIVEWAY: 0.105ha @50% IMP 11.788ha @ 0% IMP					
ROAD 02 NB	0.786	ha	60% IMP						
LOT 5	2.000	ha	0% IMP	1xROOF: 0.036ha @100% IMP 1xDRIVEWAY: 0.018ha @50% IMP 1.946ha @ 0% IMP					
ROAD 02 SB	0.403	ha	60% IMP						
LOTS 1,2 & 6-9	12.473	ha	0% IMP	6xROOF: 0.216ha @100% IMP 6xDRIVEWAY: 0.105ha @50% IMP 12.152ha @ 0% IMP					
ROAD 03 EB	0.642	ha	60% IMP						
ACCESS DRIVEWAY	0.583	ha	60% IMP						
LOTS 10 & 11	4.010	ha	0% IMP	2xROOF: 0.072ha @100% IMP 2xDRIVEWAY: 0.035ha @50% IMP 3.903ha @ 0% IMP					
ROAD 03 BYPASS	0.054	ha	60% IMP						

AS REV DESCRIPTION SURVEY DATE CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. SCALE: AS NOTED DESIGN PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN 13 JUN 24 P0 THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF JE SIZE: A1 AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DRAWN JE DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020 CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR CHECKED ΤР LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF DATUM: MGA2020, AHD RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT. APPROVED JW



STORMWATER QUALITY MODELLING LAYOUT PLAN



LOTS 1,2 & 6-9 VIA SWALE: 6xROOF: 0.216ha @100% IMP TO 34kL RWT 6xDRIVEWAY: 0.105ha @50% IMP TO 16m² BIO RAINGARDEN 12.152ha @ 0% IMP ROAD 03 EB VIA SWALE:

0.642ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

ACCESS DRIVEWAY VIA SWALE: 0.583ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

LOTS 10 & 11 BYPASS : 2xROOF: 0.072ha @100% IMP TO 34kL RWT 2xDRIVEWAY: 0.035ha @50% IMP TO BYPASS 3.903ha @ 0% IMP

515 CROOKWELL MUSIC CATCHMENT

PRE DEV								
AGRICULTURE	55.074	ha	0% IMP					
DWELLING/SHED	0.116	ha	100% IMP					
DRIVEWAY	0.199	ha	50% IMP					



BIOFILTRATION MEDIA DETAIL

NTS @ A1

BIORETENTION DETAILS:

EXTENDED DETENTION DEPTH

300mm EXTENDED DETENTION DEPTH IS TO BE PROVIDED.

NUTRIENT REMOVAL PLANTS

TO BE BASED ON THE GUIDELINE FOR WATER SENSITIVE CITIES 2015 'ADOPTION GUIDELINES FOR STORMWATER BIOFILTRATION SYSTEMS'. VEGETATION SELECTION TO BE CAREX, MELALEUCA, JANCUS, GOODENIA AND FINCINIA PLANTED AT DENSITY OF 8-12/m². RIVER ROCKS (20-40mm) TO BE SPREAD ON TOP OF THE FILTER LAYER APPROX 50-75mm THICK.

NTS @ A1

FILTER LAYER

ROAD 03 BYPASS:

0.054ha @ 60% IMPERVIOUS

THE FILTER MATERIAL SHALL BE WASHED SAND OF SILICEOUS OR CALCAREOUS ORIGIN THAT HAS BEEN MINED AND PROCESSED. NATURAL TOPSOIL AND SOILS ARE NOT SUITABLE. MATERIAL IS TO MEET THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS:

- SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY 100-200mm/hr (TEST USING ASTM F1815-06) •
- TOTAL NITROGEN CONTENT OF FILTER MEDIA 400mg/kg •
- ORTHOSPHOSPHATE CONTENT OF FILTER MEDIA 40mg/kg •

	PARTICLE SIZE DISTR
DESCRIPTION	PROPORTI
CLAY & SILT	<3%
VERY FINE SAND	5-30%
FINE SAND	10-30%
MEDIUM TO COARSE SAND	40-60%
COARSE SAND	7-10%
FINE GRAVEL	<3%

TRANSITION LAYER

TRANSITION LAYER IS REQUIRED WHEN THE DRAINAGE LAYER IS A FINE GRAVEL AND IS RECOMMENDED TO BE A COARSE SAND, GENERALLY 100m THICK.

DRAINAGE LAYER

THE DRAINAGE LAYER IS 200mm THICK MINIMUM AND IS RECOMMENDED TO SLOTTED PIPE. SCORIA IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.

CIVPLAN	JOB NAME: 24 LARGE LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL RD, KINGSDALE, NSW - LOT LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL
CIVPLAN PTY LTD ABN: 49 620 926 114 CIVPLAN CONSULTING PTY LTD ABN: 79 157 731 912 SOUTH COAST OFFICE: 390 PRINCES HIGHWAY, BOMADERRY NSW 2541	CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PT
	DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL
T: 1800 318 052 E: info@civplan.com.au W: www.civplan.com.au	DRAWING: STORMWATER QUALITY MODELLING PLAN 2

AVEL AND IS RECOMMENDED TO BE A COARSE SAND, GE	NERALLY 100mm							
BE 4-7mm BLUE METAL DRAINAGE AGGREGATE WITH 100mm DIA								
/ISION ISW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES							
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024							
NNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV						
G PLAN 2 OF 2	20027-425	PO						

IBUTION GRADING ON <0.05mm 0.05-0.15mm 0.15-0.25mm 0.25-1.0mm 1.0-2.0mm 2.0-3.4mm





9.971

87.62

58.509

24.266

99.479







SCALE: AS NOTED SIZE: A1 DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020 DATUM: MGA2020 AHD	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
	DRAWN	WN JE			AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO	
	CHECKED TP					
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON





PRE DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - DEPTHS

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
SIZE: A1	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020 DATUM: MGA2020, AHD	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A
	CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY OF

POST DEVELOPMENT- 1% AEP - DEPTHS

POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - DEPTHS

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

ICED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF N ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR O ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

JOB NAME: 24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE I LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNC CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: PRE DEVELOPMENT & POST DEVELOP

NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
DPMENT 1% & 0.2% AEP RESULTS - DEPTHS	20027-428	P0		

PRE DEVELOPMENT- 0.05% AEP - DEPTHS

PRE DEVELOPMENT- PMF - DEPTHS

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITE
SIZE: AD NOTED	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT
DATUM: MGA2020 AHD	CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O

POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.05% AEP - DEPTHS

POST DEVELOPMENT- PMF - DEPTHS

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

ICED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF N ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR O ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

JOB NAME: 24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE I LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNC CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 0.05% AB

NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
ICIL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
AEP% PMF RESULTS - DEPTHS	20027-429	PO		

PRE DEVELOPMENT- 1% AEP - WSE

PRE DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - WSE

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITE
SIZE: AS NOTED	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUC
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT
DATE OF SORVET: 22 JONE 2020	CHECKED	TP				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY O

POST DEVELOPMENT- 1% AEP - WSE

POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - WSE

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

ICED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF N ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR O ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

JOB NAME: 24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL	20027 420			
DRAWING: PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1% & 0.2% AEP RESULTS - WSE	20027-430			

PRE DEVELOPMENT- PMF - WSE NTS

		-	-		-		
SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITE	
SIZE: A1	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCI	
	DRAWN	JE	1			AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A	
DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020	CHECKED	TP	1				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY OF	

POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.05% AEP - WSE NTS

POST DEVELOPMENT- PMF - WSE NTS

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

ICED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. T AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR O ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

JOB NAME: 24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCIL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL	20027 424	50		
DRAWING: PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 0.05% AEP & PMF RESULTS - WSE	20027-431	PO		

PRE DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - DxV

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED		
SIZE: AS NOTED	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE		
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT		
DATUM: MGA2020, AHD	CHECKED	TP	ГР					LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON		

POST DEVELOPMENT- 1% AEP - DxV

POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - DxV

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

ICED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF N ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR O ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

JOB NAME:24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONLOCATION:515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE I
LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNCCLIENT:ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNDESCRIPTION:PLANNING PROPOSALDRAWING:PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1% & 0.2

NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES			
ICIL	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
.2% AEP RESULTS - DxV	20027-432	P0		

PRE DEVELOPMENT- PMF - DxV

NTS

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED
SI7F· Δ1	DESIGN	JE	PO	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCE
DATE OF SURVEY: 22 JUNE 2020	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN A
	CHECKED	ΤР				LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON

POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.05% AEP - DxV

POST DEVELOPMENT- PMF - DxV

ED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

ICED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF N ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. DT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR O ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.

JOB NAME: LOCATION:	24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION 515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURF	POSES		
		RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024			
CLIENT:	ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLANNING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV		
DESCRIPTIO	N: PLANNING PROPOSAL	00007 400	5.0		
DRAWING:	PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 0.05% AEP & PMF - DxV	20027-433	P0		

PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT- 1% AEP - WSE COMPARE NTS

PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.05% AEP - WSE COMPARE NTS

SCALE: AS NOTED	SURVEY	AS	REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE	CIVPLAN PTY LIMITED ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.			
SIZE: AI	DESIGN	JE	P0	PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL DESIGN	13 JUN 24	THIS DOCUMENT IS PRODUCED BY CIVPLAN PTY LTD SOLELY FOR THE BENEFIT OF			
	DRAWN	JE				AND USE BY THE CLIENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE RETAINER. CIVPLAN PTY LTD DOES NOT AND SHALL NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR			
DATUM: MGA2020 AHD	CHECKED	ΤP							LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY THIRD PARTY ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF
	APPROVED	JW				RELIANCE BY THIRD PARTY ON THE CONTENT OF THIS DOCUMENT.			

PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT- 0.2% AEP - WSE COMPARE NTS

PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT- PMF - WSE COMPARE NTS

JOB NAME: 24 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATION: 515 CROOKWELL ROAD, KINGSDALE LGA: GOULBURN MULWAREE COUNC CLIENT: ALIMACO PTY LTD C/- PRECISE PLAN DESCRIPTION: PLANNING PROPOSAL DRAWING: PRE & POST DEVELOPMENT 1%, 0.2

E NSW - LOT 103 & 104 D.P. 1007433 NCIL	PRELIMINARY NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES	
	RELEASE DATE: 13 JUNE 2024	
INING PTY LTD	JOB-DRAWING NUMBER	REV
% & 0.05% AEP & PMF - WSE COMPARE	20027-434	PO

Appendix B

HEC RAS Results

Figure 1: 1% AEP Event – Flood Impact – Was Dr Now Wet

Figure 2: 0.2% AEP Event – Flood Impact – Was Dr Now Wet

Commented [TP1]: Insert new screenshots with updated labels

Figure 3: 0.05% AEP Event – Flood Impact – Was Dr Now Wet

Figure 4: 1% AEP Event – Flood Impact – Was Dr Now Wet

Figure 5: 1% AEP Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Pre-Development

Figure 6: 0.2% AEP Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Pre-Development

Figure 7: 0.05% AEP Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Pre-Development

Figure 8: PMF Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Pre-Development

Figure 9: 1% AEP Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Post-Development

Figure 10: 0.2% AEP Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Post-Development

Figure 11: 0.05% AEP Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Post-Development

Figure 12: PMF Event – ARR2019 Hazard Classification– Post-Development

Appendix C

Inflow Hydrographs

Figure 1: 1% AEP Event – EX1 to INT1 – 6 hour burst, Storm 9

Figure 2: 1% AEP Event – EX2 OF – 6 hour burst, Storm 2

Figure 3: 1% AEP Event – EX3 OF – 6 hour burst, Storm 3

Figure 4: 1% AEP Event – EX4 OF – 6 hour burst, Storm 9

Figure 5: 1% AEP Event – ROAD OUT – 6 hour burst, Storm 5

Figure 6: 1% AEP Event – INTERNAL 1 – 6 hour burst, Storm 5

Figure 7: 1% AEP Event – CAT2 – 6 hour burst, Storm 5

Figure 8: 1% AEP Event – INTERNAL CAT 3 – 6 hour burst, Storm 5

Figure 9: 0.2% AEP Event – EX1 to INT1 – 6 hour burst, Storm 2

Figure 10: 0.2% AEP Event – EX2 OF - 6 hour burst, Storm 10


Figure 11: 0.2% AEP Event – EX3 OF - 6 hour burst, Storm 1



Figure 12: 0.2% AEP Event – EX4 OF - 6 hour burst, Storm 5



Figure 13: 0.2% AEP Event – ROAD OUT - 6 hour burst, Storm 5



Figure 14: 0.2% AEP Event – INTERNAL 1 - 6 hour burst, Storm 5







Figure 16: 0.2% AEP Event – INTERNAL CAT 3 - 6 hour burst, Storm 5



Figure 17: 0.05% AEP Event – EX1 to INT1 – 6 hour burst, Storm 9



Figure 18: 0.05% AEP Event – EX2 OF 6 hour burst, Storm 5





Figure 20: 0.05% AEP Event – EX4 OF - 6 hour burst, Storm 5



Figure 21: 0.05% AEP Event – ROAD OUT - 6 hour burst, Storm 5



Figure 22: 0.05% AEP Event – INTERNAL 1 - 6 hour burst, Storm 5



Figure 23: 0.05% AEP Event – CAT2 - 6 hour burst, Storm 2



Figure 24: 0.05% AEP Event – INTERNAL CAT 3 - 6 hour burst, Storm 9





Maximum flow = 0.281 cu.m/s 0.3 Inflow Flow rate (cu.m/s) 0.25 Outflow 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 Time (mins)





Figure 27: PMF Event – EX3 OF - 6 hour





















Flow rate (cu.m/s)

Appendix D

ARR Hub Data

Australian Rainfall & Runoff Data Hub - Results

Input Data

Longitude	149.701
Latitude	-34.698
Selected Regions (clear)	
River Region	show
ARF Parameters	show
Storm Losses	show
Temporal Patterns	show
Areal Temporal Patterns	show
BOM IFDs	show
Median Preburst Depths and Ratios	show
10% Preburst Depths	show
25% Preburst Depths	show
75% Preburst Depths	show
90% Preburst Depths	show
Interim Climate Change Factors	show
Probability Neutral Burst Initial Loss (./nsw_specific)	show



Croc

Leaflet (http://leafletjs.com) | Map data © OpenStreetMap (https://www.openstreetmap.org/) contributors, CC-BY-SA (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/2.0/), Imagery © Mapbox (https://www.mapbox.com/)

Data

River Region

Division	South East Coast (NSW)	
River Number	12	
River Name	Hawkesbury River	
Layer Info		
Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM	
Version	2016_v1	

ARF Parameters

	ARF = Min	$n\left\{ 1,\left\lfloor 1- ight. ight.$	a(Area	$u^b - c \log_{10} Du$	ration) Durat	$tion^{-d}$			
		$+ eArea^{f}$	Duratic	$pn^g \left(0.3 + \log q \right)$	$_{10}AEP)$				
		$+ h10^{iAr}$	$ea rac{Duration}{1440}$	$(0.3 + \log_{10}A)$	$EP)\Big]\Big\}$				
а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	

Zone	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
SE Coast	0.06	0.361	0.0	0.317	8.11e-05	0.651	0.0	0.0	0.0

Short Duration ARF

$$egin{aligned} ARF &= Min \left[1, 1-0.287 \left(Area^{0.265} - 0.439 ext{log}_{10}(Duration)
ight) . Duration^{-0.36} \ &+ 2.26 ext{ x } 10^{-3} ext{ x } Area^{0.226} . Duration^{0.125} \left(0.3 + ext{log}_{10}(AEP)
ight) \ &+ 0.0141 ext{ x } Area^{0.213} ext{ x } 10^{-0.021} rac{(Duration-180)^2}{1440} \left(0.3 + ext{log}_{10}(AEP)
ight)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Layer Info

Time Accessed

13 May 2024 12:29PM

Version

2016_v1

Storm Losses

Note: Burst Loss = Storm Loss - Preburst

Note: These losses are only for rural use and are NOT FOR DIRECT USE in urban areas

Note: As this point is in NSW the advice provided on losses and pre-burst on the NSW Specific Tab of the ARR Data Hub (./nsw_specific) is to be considered. In NSW losses are derived considering a hierarchy of approaches depending on the available loss information. The continuing storm loss information from the ARR Datahub provided below should only be used where relevant under the loss hierarchy (level 5) and where used is to be multiplied by the factor of 0.4.

ID		17778.0
Storm Initial Losses (m	m)	16.0
Storm Continuing Loss	ses (mm/h)	2.7
Layer Info		
Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM	
Version	2016_v1	
Temporal Patterns	Download (.zip) (static/temporal_patterns	/TP/ECsouth.zip)
code	ECsouth	
Label	East Coast South	
Layer Info		
Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM	
Version	2016_v2	
Areal Temporal Patte (./static/temporal_pa	erns Download (.zip) htterns/Areal/Areal_ECsouth.zip)	
code	ECsouth	

code	ECsouth
arealabel	East Coast South
Layer Info	
Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2016_v2

BOM IFDs

Click here (http://www.bom.gov.au/water/designRainfalls/revised-ifd/? year=2016&coordinate_type=dd&latitude=-34.698&longitude=149.701&sdmin=true&sdhr=true&sdday=true&user_label=) to obtain the IFD depths for catchment centroid from the BoM website

Time Accessed

13 May 2024 12:29PM

Median Preburst Depths and Ratios

Values are of the format depth (ratio) with depth in mm

min (h)\AEP(%)	50	20	10	5	2	1
60 (1.0)	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.039)	(0.017)	(0.007)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
90 (1.5)	1.9	1.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.1
	(0.091)	(0.039)	(0.017)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.002)
120 (2.0)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)
180 (3.0)	0.1	0.8	1.2	1.7	1.1	0.7
	(0.005)	(0.023)	(0.031)	(0.037)	(0.022)	(0.013)
360 (6.0)	1.7	1.1	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5
	(0.048)	(0.024)	(0.013)	(0.006)	(0.015)	(0.020)
720 (12.0)	0.2	1.9	3.1	4.2	6.9	8.9
	(0.005)	(0.032)	(0.043)	(0.051)	(0.070)	(0.081)
1080 (18.0)	0.0	3.0	5.0	6.8	9.9	12.1
	(0.000)	(0.042)	(0.058)	(0.069)	(0.083)	(0.090)
1440 (24.0)	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.6	3.7	6.0
	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.027)	(0.039)
2160 (36.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.004)	(0.006)
2880 (48.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
4320 (72.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2018_v1
Note	Preburst interpolation methods for catchment wide preburst has been slightly altered. Point values remain unchanged.

Values are of the format depth (ratio) with depth in mm

min (h)\AEP(%)	50	20	10	5	2	1
60 (1.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
90 (1.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
120 (2.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
180 (3.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
360 (6.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
720 (12.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
1080 (18.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
1440 (24.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
2160 (36.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
2880 (48.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
4320 (72.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2018_v1
Note	Preburst interpolation methods for catchment wide preburst has been slightly altered. Point values remain unchanged.

Values are of the format depth (ratio) with depth in mm

min (h)\AEP(%)	50	20	10	5	2	1
60 (1.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
90 (1.5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
120 (2.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
180 (3.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
360 (6.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
720 (12.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
1080 (18.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
1440 (24.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
2160 (36.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
2880 (48.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
4320 (72.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2018_v1
Note	Preburst interpolation methods for catchment wide preburst has been slightly altered. Point values remain unchanged.

Values are of the format depth (ratio) with depth in mm

min (h)\AEP(%)	50	20	10	5	2	1
60 (1.0)	10.9	7.0	4.4	2.0	5.2	7.6
	(0.601)	(0.292)	(0.159)	(0.063)	(0.142)	(0.188)
90 (1.5)	7.6	7.0	6.7	6.3	10.2	13.1
	(0.366)	(0.258)	(0.211)	(0.176)	(0.246)	(0.287)
120 (2.0)	8.6	11.0	12.6	14.2	12.9	12.0
	(0.377)	(0.369)	(0.365)	(0.361)	(0.285)	(0.240)
180 (3.0)	11.2	12.5	13.4	14.2	12.4	11.0
	(0.423)	(0.363)	(0.335)	(0.314)	(0.237)	(0.191)
360 (6.0)	13.2	13.9	14.4	14.8	20.1	24.1
	(0.384)	(0.309)	(0.274)	(0.247)	(0.287)	(0.309)
720 (12.0)	9.7	13.4	15.9	18.3	32.0	42.2
	(0.213)	(0.222)	(0.223)	(0.222)	(0.327)	(0.384)
1080 (18.0)	2.2	9.9	15.0	19.9	31.8	40.7
	(0.041)	(0.138)	(0.176)	(0.200)	(0.268)	(0.304)
1440 (24.0)	0.5	4.2	6.7	9.1	21.8	31.4
	(0.008)	(0.053)	(0.070)	(0.081)	(0.161)	(0.205)
2160 (36.0)	0.0	1.6	2.6	3.6	9.3	13.5
	(0.000)	(0.017)	(0.023)	(0.027)	(0.058)	(0.075)
2880 (48.0)	0.0	1.2	2.1	2.8	7.1	10.4
	(0.000)	(0.012)	(0.017)	(0.020)	(0.041)	(0.052)
4320 (72.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.1
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.005)

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2018_v1
Note	Preburst interpolation methods for catchment wide preburst has been slightly altered. Point values remain unchanged.

Values are of the format depth (ratio) with depth in mm

min (h)\AEP(%)	50	20	10	5	2	1
60 (1.0)	28.5	22.9	19.1	15.5	22.0	26.8
	(1.574)	(0.953)	(0.685)	(0.489)	(0.600)	(0.664)
90 (1.5)	20.7	23.8	25.8	27.8	24.8	22.6
	(0.998)	(0.873)	(0.817)	(0.775)	(0.601)	(0.497)
120 (2.0)	19.0	23.0	25.7	28.3	28.4	28.5
	(0.830)	(0.770)	(0.742)	(0.720)	(0.627)	(0.571)
180 (3.0)	19.9	24.5	27.6	30.5	29.6	28.9
	(0.752)	(0.712)	(0.692)	(0.675)	(0.565)	(0.499)
360 (6.0)	24.6	29.5	32.7	35.8	50.2	60.9
	(0.714)	(0.654)	(0.623)	(0.597)	(0.716)	(0.780)
720 (12.0)	21.6	36.3	46.0	55.4	72.4	85.2
	(0.476)	(0.601)	(0.646)	(0.672)	(0.741)	(0.775)
1080 (18.0)	15.0	26.7	34.5	42.0	62.5	77.9
	(0.282)	(0.373)	(0.405)	(0.423)	(0.527)	(0.581)
1440 (24.0)	14.3	16.8	18.5	20.1	38.2	51.8
	(0.243)	(0.209)	(0.193)	(0.179)	(0.283)	(0.338)
2160 (36.0)	6.8	10.8	13.4	15.9	34.3	48.0
	(0.102)	(0.116)	(0.120)	(0.121)	(0.216)	(0.266)
2880 (48.0)	4.1	12.5	18.0	23.4	32.1	38.6
	(0.056)	(0.123)	(0.147)	(0.161)	(0.183)	(0.194)
4320 (72.0)	1.2	6.8	10.6	14.2	11.6	9.6
	(0.015)	(0.061)	(0.078)	(0.088)	(0.060)	(0.044)

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2018_v1
Note	Preburst interpolation methods for catchment wide preburst has been slightly altered. Point values remain unchanged.

13/05/2024, 12:34

Results | ARR Data Hub

Interim Climate Change Factors

	RCP 4.5	RCP6	RCP 8.5
2030	0.869 (4.3%)	0.783 (3.9%)	0.983 (4.9%)
2040	1.057 (5.3%)	1.014 (5.1%)	1.349 (6.8%)
2050	1.272 (6.4%)	1.236 (6.2%)	1.773 (9.0%)
2060	1.488 (7.5%)	1.458 (7.4%)	2.237 (11.5%)
2070	1.676 (8.5%)	1.691 (8.6%)	2.722 (14.2%)
2080	1.810 (9.2%)	1.944 (9.9%)	3.209 (16.9%)
2090	1.862 (9.5%)	2.227 (11.5%)	3.679 (19.7%)

Layer Info

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2019_v1
Note	ARR recommends the use of RCP4.5 and RCP 8.5 values. These have been updated to the values that can be found on the climate change in Australia website.

Probability Neutral Burst Initial Loss

min (h)\AEP(%)	50.0	20.0	10.0	5.0	2.0	1.0
60 (1.0)	11.0	7.2	7.1	8.0	7.4	5.4
90 (1.5)	11.3	7.7	7.2	7.3	6.3	4.7
120 (2.0)	11.7	7.8	6.8	7.1	6.1	4.3
180 (3.0)	11.3	7.7	7.0	7.4	6.7	4.6
360 (6.0)	10.7	8.0	8.1	7.6	7.1	3.7
720 (12.0)	11.8	8.5	8.4	7.7	6.9	1.9
1080 (18.0)	13.9	9.7	9.1	7.8	7.6	2.0
1440 (24.0)	14.7	12.1	12.3	12.3	9.1	3.7
2160 (36.0)	16.2	13.7	14.2	15.3	10.5	6.0
2880 (48.0)	16.8	13.9	13.7	15.3	11.5	9.1
4320 (72.0)	17.7	15.1	15.1	17.2	14.8	10.8

Results | ARR Data Hub

Time Accessed	13 May 2024 12:29PM
Version	2018_v1
Note	As this point is in NSW the advice provided on losses and pre-burst on the NSW Specific Tab of the ARR Data Hub (./nsw_specific) is to be considered. In NSW losses are derived considering a hierarchy of approaches depending on the available loss information. Probability neutral burst initial loss values for NSW are to be used in place of the standard initial loss and pre-burst as per the losses hierarchy.
Downloa	ud TXT (downloads/a494c836-1967-44bf-a9fb-c73e07d4dd63.txt)
Downloa	nd JSON (downloads/fa8447a8-4138-4853-a28c-ec7edfb5a084.json)
Generat	ing PDF (downloads/472eb7a3-ac71-4ada-99b8-db20f6319389.pdf)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Appendix E

IDF Data



Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology

Location

Label:	Not provided
Latitude:	-34.698 [Nearest grid cell: 34.6875 (<u>S</u>)]

Longitude:149.701 [Nearest grid cell: 149.7125 (E)]

IFD Design Rainfall Depth (mm)

Issued: 13 May 2024

Rainfall depth for Durations, Exceedance per Year (EY), and Annual Exceedance Probabilities (AEP). FAQ for New ARR probability terminology

	Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP)							
Duration	63.2%	50%#	20%*	10%	5%	2%	1%	
1 <u>min</u>	1.46	1.64	2.21	2.59	2.96	3.44	3.80	
2 <u>min</u>	2.43	2.70	3.52	4.07	4.59	5.25	5.74	
3 <u>min</u>	3.35	3.73	4.91	5.69	6.44	7.39	8.11	
4 <u>min</u>	4.17	4.67	6.19	7.21	8.18	9.44	10.4	
5 <u>min</u>	4.90	5.50	7.35	8.58	9.77	11.3	12.5	
10 <u>min</u>	7.60	8.58	11.6	13.7	15.7	18.3	20.2	
15 <u>min</u>	9.37	10.6	14.4	16.9	19.4	22.6	25.1	
20 <u>min</u>	10.7	12.1	16.3	19.2	22.0	25.6	28.4	
25 <u>min</u>	11.7	13.2	17.9	21.0	24.0	27.9	30.8	
30 <u>min</u>	12.6	14.2	19.1	22.4	25.6	29.7	32.8	
45 <u>min</u>	14.7	16.4	21.9	25.6	29.1	33.7	37.1	
1 hour	16.2	18.1	24.0	27.9	31.7	36.6	40.4	
1.5 hour	18.7	20.7	27.2	31.6	35.8	41.3	45.5	
2 hour	20.6	22.9	29.9	34.6	39.3	45.3	50.0	
3 hour	23.9	26.4	34.4	39.9	45.2	52.4	57.9	
4.5 hour	27.8	30.8	40.1	46.6	53.0	61.7	68.5	
6 hour	31.1	34.4	45.0	52.5	59.9	70.1	78.1	
9 hour	36.4	40.4	53.4	62.7	72.0	84.9	95.1	
12 hour	40.7	45.3	60.4	71.3	82.3	97.7	110	
18 hour	47.4	53.0	71.7	85.3	99.3	119	134	
24 hour	52.4	58.8	80.4	96.2	113	135	153	
30 hour	56.3	63.5	87.4	105	123	148	168	
36 hour	59.5	67.2	93.0	112	132	159	180	
48 hour	64.4	72.9	102	123	145	175	199	
72 hour	70.7	80.3	113	136	161	194	220	
96 hour	74.8	85.0	119	144	170	204	231	
120 hour	77.9	88.4	123	149	175	210	238	

144 hour	80.5	91.2	126	152	178	215	243
168 hour	82.8	93.6	129	155	181	218	248

Note:

The 50% AEP IFD **does not** correspond to the 2 year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) IFD. Rather it corresponds to the 1.44 ARI.

* The 20% AEP IFD **does not** correspond to the 5 year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) IFD. Rather it corresponds to the 4.48 ARI.

This page was created at 12:37 on Monday 13 May 2024 (AEST)

© <u>Copyright</u> Commonwealth of Australia 2024, Bureau of Meteorology (ABN 92 637 533 532) | CRICOS Provider 02015K | <u>Disclaimer</u> | <u>Privacy</u> | <u>Accessibility</u>

Appendix F

PMF Calculations

GSDM Calculation Sheet

Location Information							
Catchment	Kingsdale	Area (km2)	5.65				
State	NSW	Duration Limit (hrs)	6				
Latitude	-34.698	Longitude 149.701					
Proportion of Area Considered:							
Smooth S= (0.0 - 1.0)	th S= (0.0 - 1.0) 1 Rough R= (0.0-1.0) 0						
	Elev	vation Adjustment Fact	or (EAF)				
Mean Elevation (m AHD))		900				
Adjustment for Eelvatio	n (-0.05 per 300m abov	e 1500m)	0				
EAF = (0.85-1.00)			1				
	Moi	isture Adjustment Facto	or (MAF)				
MAF = (0.40 - 1.00)			0.66				
PMP Values							
Duarion (brs)	Initial Donth Smooth	Initial Depth -	DMD Estimato	Rounded PMP Estimate			
Duarion (ms)		Rough	FINIF Estimate	(nearest 10mm)			
0.25	220		145	150			
0.50	323		213	210			
0.75	410		271	270			
1.0	474		313	310			
1.5	545		360	360			
2.0	610		403	400			
2.5	650		429	430			
3.0	682		450	450			
4.0	750		495	500			
5.0	808		533	530			
6.0	853		563	560			

Design Temporarl Distibution of Short Duration PMP

			% of time	0	5	10	15	20
			% of PMP	0	4	10	18	25
Dura	tion							
(hours)	(mins)	PMP						
0.25	15	150	Time (hrs)	0	0.0125	0.025	0.0375	0.05
			Time (mins)	0	0.75	1.5	2.25	3
			mm	0	6	15	27	37.5
			mm/period	0	6	9	12	10.5
			mm/hr	0	480	720	960	840
			FOR DRAINS					750
0.50	30	210	Time (hrs)	0	0.025	0.05	0.075	0.1
			Time (mins)	0	1.5	3	4.5	6
			mm	0	8.4	21	37.8	52.5
			mm/period	0	8.4	12.6	16.8	14.7
			mm/hr	0	336	504	672	588
			FOR DRAINS			420		630
0.75	45	270	Time (hrs)	0	0.0375	0.075	0.1125	0.15
			Time (mins)	0	2.25	4.5	6.75	9
			mm	0	10.8	27	48.6	67.5
			mm/period	0	10.8	16.2	21.6	18.9
			mm/hr	0	288	432	576	504
			FOR DRAINS					450
1.00	60	310	Time (hrs)	0	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.2
			Time (mins)	0	3	6	9	12
			mm	0	12.4	31	55.8	77.5
			mm/period	0	12.4	18.6	24.8	21.7
			mm/hr	0	248	372	496	434
			FOR DRAINS		248	372	496	434
1.50	90	360	Time (hrs)	0	0.075	0.15	0.225	0.3
			Time (mins)	0	4.5	9	13.5	18
			mm	0	14.4	36	64.8	90
			mm/period	0	14.4	21.6	28.8	25.2
			mm/hr	0	192	288	384	336
			FOR DRAINS			240		360
2.00	120	400	Time (hrs)	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
			Time (mins)	0	6	12	18	24
			mm	0	16	40	72	100
			mm/period	0	16	24	32	28
			mm/hr	0	160	240	320	280

Appendix G

RFFEM Outputs

Results | Regional Flood Frequency Estimation Model



*The catchment has unusual shape.	Results have	lower ac	curacy and I	may not be
directly applicable in practice.				

AEP (%)	Discharge (m ³ /s)	Lower Confidence Limit (5%) (m ³ /s)	Upper Confidence Limit (95%) (m ³ /s)
50	0.150	0.0600	0.380
20	0.320	0.140	0.770
10	0.480	0.210	1.15
5	0.680	0.290	1.65

Input Data					
Date/Time	2024-06-05 08:19				
Catchment Name	515 Crookwell				
Latitude (Outlet)	-34.734				
Longitude (Outlet)	149.678				
Latitude (Centroid)	-34.701				
Longitude (Centroid)	149.71				
Catchment Area (km ²)	1.01				
Distance to Nearest Gauged Catchment (km)	18.69				
50% AEP 6 Hour Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)	5.75087				
2% AEP 6 Hour Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)	11.736698				
Rainfall Intensity Source (User/Auto)	Auto				
Region	East Coast				

Discharge	Lower Confidence Limit	Upper Confidence Limit	Input Data		
(m°/s)	(5%) (m²/s)	(95%) (m²/s)	Region Version	RFFE Model	
1.00	0.420	2.48		2016 v1	
1.29	0.530	3.30	Region Source (User/Auto)	Auto	
	Discharge (m ³ /s) 1.00 1.29	Discharge (m³/s)Lower Confidence Limit (5%) (m³/s)1.000.4201.290.530	Discharge (m³/s)Lower Confidence Limit (5%) (m³/s)Upper Confidence Limit (95%) (m³/s)1.000.4202.481.290.5303.30	Discharge (m³/s)Lower Confidence Limit (5%) (m³/s)Upper Confidence Limit (95%) (m³/s)Input Date1.000.4202.48Region Version1.290.5303.30Region Source (User/Auto)	

Statistics

Variable Value S		Standard Dev	Correlation			
Mean	-1.974	0.428		1.000		
Standard Dev	0.881	0.138		-0.330	1.000	
Skew	0.092	0.026		0.170	-0.280	1.000

Region Version	RFFE Model 2016 v1
Region Source (User/Auto)	Auto
Shape Factor	4.67*
Interpolation Method	Natural Neighbour
Bias Correction Value	-0.342

Note: These statistics come from the nearest gauged catchment. Details.

Note: These statistics are common to each region. Details.

1% AEP Flow vs Catchment Area



Results | Regional Flood Frequency Estimation Model



Shape Factor vs Catchment Area



Intensity vs Catchment Area

Results | Regional Flood Frequency Estimation Model



Bias Correction Factor vs Catchment Area





Method by Dr Ataur Rahman and Dr Khaled Haddad from Western Sydney University for the Australian Rainfall and Runoff Project. Full description of the project can be found at the project page (http://arr.ga.gov.au/revision-projects/project-list/projects/project-5) on the ARR website. Send any questions regarding the method or project here (mailto:admin@arr-software.org).





(http://www.uws.edu.au)